

Conformal field theory and Löwner-Kufarev evolution

Irina Markina and Alexander Vasil'ev

ABSTRACT. One of the important aspects in recent trends in complex analysis has been the increasing degree of cross-fertilization between the latter and mathematical physics with great benefits to both subjects. Contour dynamics in the complex plane turned to be a meeting point for complex analysts, specialists in stochastic processes, and mathematical physicists. This was stimulated, first of all, by recent progress in understanding structures in the classical and stochastic Löwner evolutions, and in the Laplacian growth. The Virasoro algebra provides a basic algebraic object in conformal field theory (CFT) so it was not surprising that it turned to play an important role of a structural skeleton for contour dynamics. The present paper is a survey of recent progress in the study of the CFT viewpoint on contour dynamics, in particular, we show how the Witt and Virasoro algebras are related with the stochastic Löwner and classical Löwner-Kufarev equations.

1. Introduction

Conformal field theory (CFT) in two dimensions has deep intrinsic connection to representation of infinite dimensional algebras. The Virasoro algebra is a vertex algebra, which appeared in early 1970's physics papers (see, e.g. [48]) on string theory. Earlier in 1968 it was introduced by Gelfand and Fuchs [15] as a unique (up to isomorphisms) central extension of the algebra of vector fields on the unit circle. Later in 1980's, it became clear that the Virasoro algebra turned out to be a universal symmetry algebra in two-dimensional CFT. The infinitesimal conformal transformations in the classical setup lead to an infinite dimensional algebra, called the Witt algebra. Turning to quantum field theories, the conformal anomaly, or Weyl anomaly, leads to the appearance of a nontrivial central charge. So the Witt algebra

Key words and phrases. Virasoro algebra, Löwner equation, SLE.

This work was completed with the support of the grants of the Norwegian Research Council #177355/V30, of the European Science Foundation Research Networking Programme HCAA, and of the NordForsk Network 'Analysis and Applications'.

is modified by central extension to the Virasoro algebra. Infinite-dimensional algebras have also been used recently in the theory of exactly solvable models. For example, the Virasoro algebra plays a central role in the study of integrable systems, such as those associated to the KdV and other soliton hierarchies. The Virasoro algebra is intrinsically related to the KdV canonical structure where the Virasoro brackets become just the Magri brackets for the Miura transformations of elements of the phase space of the KdV hierarchy (see, e.g., [10, 16]). The lattice Virasoro algebra appears in the study of the Toda field theory and Toda integrable systems [11, 21].

On the other hand, contour dynamics is a classical subject in complex analysis. One of the typical dynamics started from classical Hele-Shaw experiments in 1897. This leads to a sample free boundary problem, known also as the Laplacian growth in two dimensions (see [20, 47]). Another one is the Löwner evolution, see [27, 40]. Last decade, the progress in the study of Laplacian growth has resulted in its integrable structure in terms of Richardson's moments, namely these moments form a dispersionless Toda hierarchy [34]. Richardson's moments are conserved quantities of the Laplacian growth. Recently, it was proved [33] that the Virasoro generators in their co-vector representation are conserved quantities of the Löwner-Kufarev evolution. Friedrich and Werner [13], and independently Bauer and Bernard [6] found relations between SLE (stochastic or Schramm-Löwner evolution) and the highest weight representation of the Virasoro algebra. All these results encouraged us to conclude that the Virasoro algebra is a common structural basis for these and possibly other types of contour dynamics and we decided to present our overview of the development in this direction.

The survey is designed for a comprehensive reading of sufficiently wide mathematical audience, in particular graduate students. Therefore, we decided to include several parts well-known for specialists in mathematical physics but less known for analysts.

We start to show how the Virasoro algebra appears in CFT and in integrable models. Then we proceed with the classical Löwner-Kufarev equation where the central extension does not appear and we work only with the Witt algebra. The central extension appears for the stochastic version of the Löwner equation. Finally, we briefly describe connections between SLE and CFT.

This survey is partially based on a lecture given by the second author at the II Winter School in Complex Analysis and Operator Theory, held in Seville (Spain), February 5-9, 2008. He is thankful to the organizers for their hospitality. The authors want to express their gratitude to Hélène Airault, Roland Friedrich, Paul Malliavin, Yurii Neretin, and Dmitri Prokhorov for many fruitful discussions on the subject during last years.

2. CFT and Virasoro algebra

There is a vast amount of standard references on basics of CFT, and classical and quantum strings, see e.g., [19, 37], but since the topic is presented in a lecture form for mathematics students, we take a risk to look briefly through a simplest example of a closed bosonic string with periodic boundary conditions in order to introduce the Virasoro algebra.

2.1. Classical bosonic string. We start with some basic definitions. A p -brane is spatial evolution of a p -dimensional object, which is reduced to a point particle if $p = 0$, a string if $p = 1$, a membrane if $p = 2$, etc., in some D -dimensional ambient spacetime $\mathfrak{M}$. The result of such evolution is called the worldline ($p = 0$), worldsheet ($p = 1$), or worldvolume otherwise. We suppose $\mathfrak{M}$ to be a D -dimensional vector space endowed with the Lorentzian metric $ds_{\mathfrak{M}}$ of signature $(1, D - 1)$; that is

$$ds_{\mathfrak{M}}^2 = \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu, \quad \eta_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We consider the toy model of a closed bosonic string. In order to see its dynamics we construct dynamical variables $x^\mu(\sigma^\alpha)$ where $\mu = 0, 1, \dots, D - 1$ and $\sigma^0 = \tau$, $\sigma^1 = \sigma$ are coordinates on the canonical cylinder $C = \{\tau \in (-\infty, \infty), \sigma \in [0, 2\pi)\}$. The intrinsic metric ds_C on C is given by

$$ds_C^2 = \eta_{\alpha\beta} d\sigma^\alpha d\sigma^\beta, \quad \eta_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We reserve the notation $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ for the metric in D -dimensional space and $\eta_{\alpha\beta}$ on the cylinder C . The dynamical variables describe a C^∞ -smooth embedding of C in $\mathfrak{M}$, and this allows us to consider the worldsheet (ws) as an embedded manifold in $\mathfrak{M}$. The induced metric ds_{ws} on the worldsheet is given by

$$ds_{ws}^2 = \eta_{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial \sigma^\alpha} \frac{\partial x^\nu}{\partial \sigma^\beta} d\sigma^\alpha d\sigma^\beta = g_{\alpha\beta} d\sigma^\alpha d\sigma^\beta.$$

The dynamical variables $x^\mu(\tau, \sigma)$ satisfy the equations of motion derived by making use of the *least action principle*. In Lagrangian mechanics, a system with a configuration space $\mathfrak{N}$ is characterized by its *Lagrangian* L , a smooth real-valued functional on the direct product of the tangent bundle $T\mathfrak{N}$ and the real axis. Let $P\mathfrak{N}$ stand for the path space, that is the space of all paths having the fixed initial point ('ini') and the end point ('end'). This space has a structure of an infinite-dimensional Fréchet manifold. Then, the *action functional* S is a real-valued integral functional defined by $S = \int_{\text{ini}}^{\text{fin}} L dt$, where t is a real curve parameter. Turning to the spacetime configuration space $\mathfrak{M}$ and a worldsheet in $\mathfrak{M}$ we work with the action functional $S = \int_{ws} \Phi(x) dx$ where the Lagrangian L is included in to this spatial integral. So

defined action has some physical and geometrical relevance. In classical mechanics, action, e.g., is the difference between kinetic and potential energies. The minimizing curve for the length functional and for the action given by the Lagrangian, which is the kinetic energy, coincide. There is no a direct analogue to energy in the relativistic mechanics. Therefore, Nambu in 1970 [35] and Gotô in 1971 [17] proposed to choose the area of the worldsheet as the simplest action. This action, known as the Nambu-Goto action, admits the form

$$S_{NG} = -T \int_{ws} dx = -T \int_C d\sigma^2 \sqrt{|\det g_{\alpha\beta}|},$$

where C is the canonical cylinder and the quantity T , the string tension, has dimension mass per unit length. Observe that $(-)$ in front of the integral is due to the Lorentzian metric. The string possesses geodesic motion, i.e., the dynamical variables satisfy the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\det g_{\alpha\beta}|}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^\alpha} \left(\sqrt{|\det g_{\alpha\beta}|} g^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial \sigma^\beta} \right) = 0, \quad \mu = 0, \dots, D-1,$$

where $g^{\alpha\beta}$ and $g_{\alpha\beta}$ are obtained by rising and lowering of indices with respect to the Lorentzian metric. The metric $g_{\alpha\beta}$ is defined on the worldsheet embedded into $\mathfrak{M}$. It depends on the variables x^μ , and the equation (2.1) for x^μ is highly non-linear. Moreover, the square root within the integral results in difficulties in further quantization.

To overcome these problems Polyakov proposed to introduce an analogue of Lagrange multipliers in 1981 [38]. In fact, he considered a variable metric ds of index 1 on the worldsheet as on a 2-D manifold, such that $ds^2 = h_{\alpha\beta}(\tau, \sigma) d\sigma^\alpha d\sigma^\beta$, and the Dirichlet integral

$$S_P = -\frac{T}{2} \int_C d\sigma^2 \sqrt{|\det h_{\alpha\beta}|} h^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial \sigma^\alpha} \frac{\partial x^\nu}{\partial \sigma^\beta}$$

as an action. The Euler-Lagrange equation (regarding to the variation of the dynamical variables) for the Polyakov action S_P is formally the same as for the Nambu-Goto action S_{NG}

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\det h_{\alpha\beta}|}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^\alpha} \left(\sqrt{|\det h_{\alpha\beta}|} h^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial \sigma^\beta} \right) = 0,$$

but h does not depend on x any longer. So (2.2) are linear equations for $x^\mu(\tau, \sigma)$. Moreover, the Polyakov action is quantized easier due to its linearity.

There are three degrees of freedom in h because it is symmetric. They can be removed by using the equation of motion for h

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{\delta S_P}{\delta h^{\alpha\beta}} = 0,$$

where the left-hand side is a functional derivative. The equations (2.2–2.3) perform the necessary conditions for minimizing the action. The two-dimensional energy-momentum tensor is defined as

$$T_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{-2}{T} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\det h_{\alpha\beta}|}} \frac{\delta S_P}{\delta h^{\alpha\beta}},$$

and the equation of motion implies $T_{\alpha\beta} = 0$. Moreover, $S_P = S_{NG}$ under $T_{\alpha\beta} = 0$, whereas in general, $S_P \geq S_{NG}$.

A guiding principle in physics is that symmetries in the geometry of spacetime define the standard conservation laws. There is no single theorem describing such a connection, however this principle is sometimes referred to as the ‘Noether theorem’, although the Noether 1918 theorem [36] itself contains only a very partial statement of it.

By symmetries for the Polyakov action S_P we mean transformations keeping the action invariant. They are:

- Global symmetries
 - Poincaré invariance

$$x^\mu \rightarrow x^\mu + b^\mu;$$

$$x^\mu \rightarrow x^\mu + \omega^\mu_\nu x^\nu;$$

where $\omega^\mu_\nu = -\omega^\nu_\mu$ are infinitesimal Lorentzian transformations.

- Local symmetries
 - reparametrization invariance by diffeomorphisms in 2-D: $\sigma^\alpha \rightarrow \tilde{\sigma}^\alpha(\tau, \sigma)$ implies the invariance of the area element

$$d\tilde{\sigma}^2 \sqrt{|\det \tilde{h}|} = d\sigma^2 \sqrt{|\det h|}.$$

- Weyl rescaling

$$h_{\alpha\beta} d\sigma^\alpha d\sigma^\beta \rightarrow e^{\rho(\tau, \sigma)} h_{\alpha\beta} d\sigma^\alpha d\sigma^\beta.$$

Weyl rescaling leaves $\sqrt{|\det h|} h_{\alpha\beta}$ invariant.

The Poincaré and reparametrization invariance of S_P allow us to choose a gauge in which the three independent components of h are expressed with just one function, typically the conformal flat gauge $h_{\alpha\beta} = e^{\rho(\tau, \sigma)} \eta_{\alpha\beta}$. Substituting this in the Polyakov action we obtain

$$S_P = -\frac{T}{2} \int_C d\sigma^2 \eta^{\alpha\beta} \eta_{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial \sigma^\alpha} \frac{\partial x^\nu}{\partial \sigma^\beta},$$

so the gauge fixed action is a quadratic functional with respect to x . Varying it with respect to x we arrive at a free wave equation of motion

$$(2.4) \quad \ddot{x}^\mu - (x^\mu)'' = 0,$$

where $\dot{x}$ is the τ - and x' is the σ - derivative.

Weyl invariance implies that S_P does not depend on $\rho(\tau, \sigma)$. Therefore, the variation $\delta S_P / \delta \rho = h^{\alpha\beta} T_{\alpha\beta} = T_{\alpha}^{\alpha}$ vanishes that makes $T_{\alpha\beta}$ traceless.

Keeping in mind that $T_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ one can derive the constraints $T_{01} = T_{10} = \dot{x}_{\mu}(x_{\mu})' = 0$ and

$$(2.5) \quad T_{00} = T_{11} = \frac{1}{2}(\dot{x}_{\mu}\dot{x}^{\mu} + x'_{\mu}(x^{\mu})') = 0.$$

This yields the equations $(\dot{x} \pm x')^2 = 0$, which are called the Virasoro constraints. The equation (2.4) with the constraints (2.5), subject to some boundary conditions describes the motion of a bosonic string.

Let us use periodic boundary conditions $x^{\mu}(\tau, \sigma) = x^{\mu}(\tau, \sigma + 2\pi)$. The general solution to the wave equation of motion is

$$x^{\mu}(\tau, \sigma) = x_R^{\mu}(\tau - \sigma) + x_L^{\mu}(\tau + \sigma).$$

Let us show that the center of mass of the worldsheet moves as a free particle. Satisfying the boundary condition and separating the linear part, we use the Fourier series representation of the solution as

$$\begin{aligned} x_R^{\mu}(\tau - \sigma) &= \frac{1}{2}x_0^{\mu} + \frac{1}{2\pi T}(\tau - \sigma)p^{\mu} + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2\pi T}} \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{1}{n} \alpha_n^{\mu} e^{-in(\tau - \sigma)}, \\ x_L^{\mu}(\tau + \sigma) &= \frac{1}{2}x_0^{\mu} + \frac{1}{2\pi T}(\tau + \sigma)p^{\mu} + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2\pi T}} \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{1}{n} \beta_n^{\mu} e^{-in(\tau + \sigma)}, \end{aligned}$$

where x_0^{μ} is the center of mass and p^{μ} is the momentum. The functions x_R^{μ} and x_L^{μ} are real, and hence, $\bar{\alpha}_n^{\mu} = \alpha_{-n}^{\mu}$ and $\bar{\beta}_n^{\mu} = \beta_{-n}^{\mu}$. These coefficients are known under the name of oscillators in physics.

The position of the center of mass of the string is calculated as

$$X^{\mu} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} x^{\mu}(\tau, \sigma) d\sigma = x_0^{\mu} + \frac{p^{\mu}}{\pi T} \tau,$$

that shows that the center of mass moves as a free particle starting from x_0^{μ} . The momentum of the center of mass is written

$$P^{\mu} = \int_0^{2\pi} \Pi^{\mu} d\sigma = \frac{T}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \dot{x}^{\mu} d\sigma = p^{\mu}.$$

In classical Hamiltonian mechanics the action S is a time-integral of the Lagrangian $S = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} L(x, \dot{x}) dt$. In our case the Lagrangian becomes

$$L = -\frac{T}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \eta^{\alpha\beta} \eta_{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial \sigma^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial x^{\nu}}{\partial \sigma^{\beta}} d\sigma.$$

The Hamiltonian function is given by

$$H = \int_0^{2\pi} (\dot{x}^{\mu} \Pi_{\mu}) d\sigma - L = \frac{T}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} (\dot{x}^{\mu} \dot{x}_{\mu} + (x^{\mu})' x'_{\mu}) d\sigma.$$

Using an identity for the Kronecker delta

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(n-m)\sigma} d\sigma = \delta_{n,m},$$

we obtain the Hamiltonian function in terms of oscillators as

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (\alpha_{-n} \alpha_n + \beta_{-n} \beta_n),$$

where we set $\alpha_0^\mu = \beta_0^\mu = \frac{1}{2\pi T} p^\mu$.

The standard Heisenberg-Poisson-Dirac bracket on phase coordinates looks like

$$\{x^\mu(\tau, \sigma), \dot{x}^\nu(\tau, \sigma')\} = \frac{1}{T} \eta^{\mu,\nu} \delta(\sigma - \sigma'),$$

$$\{x^\mu(\tau, \sigma), x^\nu(\tau, \sigma')\} = \{\dot{x}^\mu(\tau, \sigma), \dot{x}^\nu(\tau, \sigma')\} = 0.$$

It is convenient to turn to light-cone coordinates on C assuming light speed to be 1. They are $\zeta_\pm = \sigma \pm \tau$ and the flat metric ds_C^2 becomes $ds_C^2 = d\zeta_+ d\zeta_-$. The metric components of $\eta_{\alpha\beta}$ in the light-cone coordinates are $\eta_{++} = \eta_{--} = 0$ and $\eta_{+-} = \eta_{-+} = \frac{1}{2}$. The differential operators become $\partial_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\sigma \mp \partial_\tau)$.

The Virasoro generators are defined by

$$L_m = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} T_{++} e^{im(\tau-\sigma)} d\sigma = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{m-n} \alpha_n,$$

$$\tilde{L}_m = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} T_{--} e^{im(\tau+\sigma)} d\sigma = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \beta_{m-n} \beta_n,$$

where T_{++} and T_{--} are the principal diagonal components of the energy-momentum tensor in the real light-cone coordinates and $\alpha_0^\mu = \beta_0^\mu = \frac{1}{2\pi T} p^\mu$. The commutator relation for L_n given by the Poisson structure is

$$\{L_m, L_n\} = i(n-m)L_{n+m}, \quad \{\tilde{L}_m, \tilde{L}_n\} = i(n-m)\tilde{L}_{n+m}, \quad \{L_m, \tilde{L}_n\} = 0.$$

The next useful operation is so-called Wick rotation when the non-physical time τ is replaced by the imaginary time $i\tau$. Then the light-cone coordinates change to $\zeta_+ \rightarrow z$, $\zeta_- \rightarrow \bar{z}$, where $z = \sigma + i\tau$. The differential operators become $\partial_+ \rightarrow \partial_z$, $\partial_- \rightarrow \partial_{\bar{z}}$. Then the Polyakov action in the conformal gauge reads as

$$S_P = -2T \int_C \frac{dz \wedge d\bar{z}}{2i} \eta_{\mu\nu} \partial_z x^\mu \partial_{\bar{z}} x^\nu,$$

and the equation of motion is Laplacian $\partial_{z\bar{z}}^2 x^\mu = 0$. The energy-momentum tensor has the following components

$$T_{zz} = \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\mu\nu} ((x^\mu)' - i\dot{x}^\mu)((x^\nu)' - i\dot{x}^\nu) = T_{00} + 2iT_{10},$$

$$T_{\bar{z}\bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\mu\nu} ((x^\mu)' + i\dot{x}^\mu)((x^\nu)' + i\dot{x}^\nu) = T_{00} - 2iT_{10},$$

and $T_{z\bar{z}} = T_{\bar{z}z} = 0$. The Virasoro constraints in these coordinates are written as $T_{zz} = T_{\bar{z}\bar{z}} = 0$.

The invariance of S_P with respect to an infinitesimal reparametrization $\sigma^\alpha \rightarrow \tilde{\sigma}^\alpha + \varepsilon^\alpha(\sigma)$ implies the conservation law for the energy-momentum tensor $\nabla^\alpha T_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ which in z -coordinates becomes

$$\partial_{\bar{z}}T_{zz} + \partial_zT_{\bar{z}\bar{z}} = \partial_zT_{\bar{z}z} + \partial_{\bar{z}}T_{z\bar{z}} = 0,$$

and making use of $T_{z\bar{z}} = T_{\bar{z}z} = 0$, we conclude that T_{zz} is analytic and $T_{\bar{z}\bar{z}}$ is antianalytic components of T .

Then in complex coordinates

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_z x^\mu &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi T}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \beta_n^\mu e^{-inz}, \\ \partial_{\bar{z}} x^\mu &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi T}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_n^\mu e^{-in\bar{z}}.\end{aligned}$$

The Wick rotation leads to the complex coordinates and we conclude that in complex coordinates the Virasoro generators L_n are the coefficients of the formal Laurent series

$$T_{zz} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{L_n}{z^{n+2}},$$

and the commutator relations for L_n in these coordinates are

$$\{L_m, L_n\} = i(n - m)L_{n+m},$$

so $\text{span}\{L_n\}$ forms the Witt algebra.

2.2. Canonical quantization. In classical mechanics the motion is completely defined by the position x and momentum p , which are the elements of a symplectic manifold. They specify the state of the classical mechanical system. There is a specific function, the Hamiltonian function H , that defines the motion by the equations $\dot{x} = \nabla_p H$, $\dot{p} = -\nabla_x H$. The symplectic structure (also known as the canonical structure) defines the Poisson bracket by $\{x, p\} = 1$.

The main goal of the classical mechanics is to find the trajectories of motion of particles, which are the solutions to a Hamiltonian system. The task of quantum mechanics differs because we have no trajectories any longer and a particle is found at a point of spacetime with some probability (complex in general). The entire information about the quantum system is given by the spectrum and the corresponding collection of eigenfunctions for a quantum operator. The initial task of the first (canonical) quantization is to find operators X and P corresponding to the dynamical variables x and p , which act over an infinite dimensional Hilbert space of smooth functions, quantum states. The next step is to find quantum analogue of the Poisson bracket and the operator, the Hamiltonian, which defines the total energy of a system, or

in other words, an analogue of the Hamiltonian function. Finally, the states of the quantum system can be labelled by the eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian.

Let us start with the definition of the operators X and P . In quantum mechanics we replace $x \rightarrow \cdot x \equiv X$, $p \rightarrow -i\hbar\nabla_x \equiv P$. Acting on quantum states they result in $X\psi = x\psi$, $P\psi = -i\hbar\nabla_x\psi$. There exist many reasons of this replacement. Let us give a toy reason related to the wave function, which appears in the harmonic oscillator with the phase $\varphi = kx - \omega t$, where ω is the frequency and k is the coefficient of displacement (Hooke's law). The Planck constant is the proportionality constant between energy (E) of a photon and the frequency of its associated electromagnetic wave: $E = \hbar\omega$, $\hbar = 6.62606896(33) \times 10^{-34}/2\pi \text{ J s}$ (Joule $\times$ seconds). The value $\hbar k$ is the momentum of the displacement in a given direction x . Then the expression $\hbar d\varphi = \hbar k dx - \hbar\omega dt$ can be interpreted as $\hbar d\varphi = p dx - H dt = dS$, where S is the action. The real wave function is $\cos \varphi$, and the complex wave function is $\psi = \exp(\frac{i}{\hbar}S)$ with the amplitude 1. We consider the simplest case in which the amplitude is constant=1. Generally, it can be some non-negative function.

Let us consider the action S , i.e., the time integral of the Lagrangian, on the extremal trajectories, the solutions to the Euler-Lagrange equation. Then the result is a function $S(t_0, t, x_0, x)$, that depends on the initial and final time t_0 and t , and on the initial and final point of the trajectory x_0 and x . Differentiating with respect to the final point x gives $p = \nabla_x S$, where the momentum p is the solution of the corresponding Hamiltonian system $\dot{x} = \nabla_p H$, $\dot{p} = -\nabla_x H$ taken at the final time. So we immediately obtain $-i\hbar\nabla\Psi = p\Psi$ and the momenta represent eigenvalues of the operator $-i\hbar\nabla$. Thus, the quantum commutator is $[X, P]\psi = (XP - PX)\psi = (x(i\hbar)\nabla - i\hbar\nabla x)\psi = i\hbar\psi$, or $[X, P] = i\hbar$. The quantum Poisson brackets are to preserve the classical properties in which $\{x, p\} = 1$, therefore we come to the conclusion

$$\{X, P\}_{\text{PB}} = \frac{[X, P]_{\text{quantum}}}{i\hbar}.$$

Moreover, in the canonical quantization the fields are replaced by Hermitian operators. Rescaling our bosonic string, such that $\hbar \rightarrow 1$, $T \rightarrow 1$, we have

$$\{x^\mu(\tau, \sigma), \dot{x}^\nu(\tau, \sigma')\} = -i\eta^{\mu, \nu}\delta(\sigma - \sigma'),$$

$$\{x^\mu(\tau, \sigma), x^\nu(\tau, \sigma')\} = \{\dot{x}^\mu(\tau, \sigma), \dot{x}^\nu(\tau, \sigma')\} = 0.$$

When the canonical quantization procedure is applied in the frames of a given quantum field theory, the classical field variable becomes a quantum operator, which acts on a quantum state to increase or decrease the number of particles by one. For a boson there are two operators: the boson's creation operator $\mathbf{b}^\dagger$ and the boson's annihilation operator $\mathbf{b}$ (commonly known as 'ladder operators') for the system with one degree of freedom, or $\mathbf{b}_k^\dagger$ and $\mathbf{b}_k$ for fields, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Each operator creates or annihilates particles in a certain state ψ . The next step in quantization is establishing the normal ordering operation between creators and annihilators following the Heisenberg

uncertainty principle expressed in above Poisson bracket. The commutation relations for creators and annihilators are $\{\mathfrak{b}^\dagger, \mathfrak{b}^\dagger\} = 0$, $\{\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}\} = 0$, and $\{\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}^\dagger\} = 1$. The latter can be rewritten as $\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{b}^\dagger = \mathfrak{b}^\dagger\mathfrak{b} + 1$. A product of creation and annihilation operators is said to be in the *normal order* when all creation operators are to the left of all annihilation operators in the product. The process of putting a product into normal order is called normal ordering. The normal ordering operation is denoted by $:\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}:$. In the case of two boson's operators $:\mathfrak{b}^\dagger\mathfrak{b}:=\mathfrak{b}^\dagger\mathfrak{b}$ but $:\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{b}^\dagger:=\mathfrak{b}^\dagger\mathfrak{b}$ and $\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{b}^\dagger=:\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{b}^\dagger:+1$.

We define the Virasoro generators in the quantum system by introducing normal ordering for positively indexed oscillators α_n , β_n as annihilators and for the negatively indexed ones as creators:

$$L_m = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} : \alpha_{m-n} \alpha_n : \\ \tilde{L}_m = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} : \beta_{m-n} \beta_n :$$

where $m \neq 0$. The only operator L_0 produces an anomaly because it is already in normal order,

$$L_0 = \frac{1}{2} \alpha_0 \alpha_0 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}'} : \alpha_{-n} \alpha_n : = \frac{1}{2} \alpha_0 \alpha_0 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}'} \alpha_{-n} \alpha_n.$$

In order to keep the commutation law for oscillators one must subtract from L_0 some constant c when taking commutator of symmetric operators L_n and L_{-n} . Taking into account quantum brackets one arrives at the Virasoro commutation relation

$$(2.6) \quad \{L_m, L_n\}_{\text{Vir}} = (n - m)L_{n+m} + \frac{c}{12}n(n^2 - 1)\delta_{n,-m},$$

where the constant c is called the central charge and in this particular case it coincides with the dimension D .

The Virasoro constraints can not be written as $L_n|\psi\rangle = 0$ for all n , because

$$\langle\psi|\{L_n, L_{-n}\}|\psi\rangle = 2n\langle\psi|L_0|\psi\rangle + \frac{c}{12}n(n^2 - 1)\langle\psi|\psi\rangle \neq 0.$$

So the correct Virasoro constraints are imposed by $L_n|\psi\rangle = 0$ for $n > 0$ and $(L_0 - c)|\psi\rangle = 0$. Here $\langle\psi|$ and $|\psi\rangle$ are the standard Dirac notations of *bra* and *ket* vectors of states. Our system is in the physical state $|\psi\rangle$ and $c = D$.

Early string theory proposed by Yoichiro Nambu and others in 1970 was only bosonic. Pierre Ramond, André Neveu, and John Schwarz completed the theory by inventing fermionic strings to accompany the bosonic ones.

3. KdV and Virasoro algebra

The KdV equation appeared in a paper by Diederik Johannes Korteweg and his student Gustav de Vries [25] in Philosophical Magazine, 1895, and originally described the solitary wave discovered by the Scottish engineer John Scott Russell about half a

century earlier. Later on, it became the condition on the potential of the spectral stability of the Schrödinger operator, eigenvalues of which represent energy quantization for bound systems. The existence of quantized energy levels is verified experimentally by observation of the energy emitted or absorbed when the system makes a transition from one level to another.

3.1. Hamiltonian dynamics and integrability. In order to speak on integrability of KdV let us introduce this notion briefly for finite dimensional Hamiltonian systems which will be used in the sequel. There exists a vast amount of modern literature dedicated to different approaches and definitions of *integrable systems* (see, e.g., [3, 4, 7, 50]).

The classical definition of an *integrable system* in the sense of Liouville applied to a Hamiltonian system says, that if we can find independent conserved integrals which are pairwise involutory (have vanishing Poisson brackets), this system is partially or completely integrable depending on the number of pairwise involutory integrals (see e.g., [3, 4, 7]). That is each first integral allows us to reduce the order of the system not just by one, but by two. We give now the precise definitions.

Let (N, ω) be a symplectic manifold with the symplectic 2-form ω and H be a C^∞ -function defined on N . Then we write $\vec{H}$ to denote the *Hamiltonian vector field* associated to H . By definition $\vec{H}$ is a vector field on N , such that

$$\omega(\vec{V}, \vec{H}) = dH(\vec{V}),$$

for every smooth vector field $\vec{V}$ on N . In this case the function H is called the *Hamiltonian function*. If $H, K \in C^\infty(N)$, then the Poisson bracket $\{H, K\}$ is the directional derivative of K in the direction of $\vec{H}$, i. e.

$$\{H, K\} = dK(\vec{H}) = \omega(\vec{H}, \vec{K}).$$

The Jacoby identity and the Leibniz property show that the map $H \rightarrow \vec{H}$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism

$$(C^\infty(N), \{\cdot, \cdot\}) \rightarrow (V^\infty(N), [\cdot, \cdot]),$$

where $V^\infty(N)$ denotes the set of C^∞ -vector fields on N . An equation of the form

$$(3.1) \quad \dot{x}(t) = \vec{H}(x(t)),$$

is called the Hamiltonian system.

Let us consider the particular case of n -dimensional complex vector space $\mathbb{C}^n$. In this case the cotangent bundle $T^*(\mathbb{C}^n)$ for $\mathbb{C}^n$, that is, isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$, has a natural symplectic structure. Relatively to the coordinate chart

$$T^*(\mathbb{C}^n) = (z_1, \dots, z_n, \bar{\psi}_1, \dots, \psi_n),$$

we have the formulas

$$\omega = \sum_{k=1}^n dz^k \wedge d\bar{\psi}_k,$$

$$\vec{H} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial H}{\partial z^k} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\psi}_k} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial \bar{\psi}_k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k}, \quad \{H, K\} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial H}{\partial z^k} \frac{\partial K}{\partial \bar{\psi}_k} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial \bar{\psi}_k} \frac{\partial K}{\partial z^k}.$$

Then the system (3.1) can be written in the form

$$(3.2) \quad \dot{z}^k(t) = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \bar{\psi}_k}, \quad \dot{\bar{\psi}}_k(t) = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial z^k}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

and, using the Poisson brackets, we get

$$(3.3) \quad \dot{z}^k(t) = \{z^k, H\}, \quad \dot{\bar{\psi}}_k(t) = \{\bar{\psi}_k, H\}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

The latter system has n degrees of freedom. A smooth function Φ satisfying $\{H, \Phi\} = 0$ is called the *first integral* of the Hamiltonian system. In particular, $\{H, H\} = 0$, and the Hamiltonian function H is a first integral of the system (3.1). If the system (3.3) has n functionally independent first integrals $\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_n$, which are pairwise involutory, that is $\{\Phi_k, \Phi_j\} = 0$, $k, j = 1, \dots, n$, then the system is called *completely integrable* in the sense of Liouville. The function H is included in the set of the first integrals. The classical theorem of Liouville and Arnold [3] gives a description of the motion generated by the completely integrable system (3.3). It states that such a system admits action-angle coordinates on a connected regular compact invariant manifold.

3.2. From KdV to Virasoro. Let us consider the phase coordinates (field variables) defined on the spacetime $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$, which are from the Sobolev space $H^\alpha(S^1)$, $\alpha \geq -1$, regarding to $x \in S^1$ for each fixed $t \in \mathbb{R}$, i.e., real valued distributions on the unit circle $u(e^{ix}, t)$. Let us simplify $u \rightarrow u(x, t)$, where the new u becomes a 2π periodic smooth in x function. Observables are C^1 functionals on $H^\alpha(S^1)$.

The famous KdV equation is $u_t = 6uu' + u'''$ on the unit circle can be viewed as an integrable Hamiltonian system of infinite dimensions. Several Poisson structures can be defined on the space of observables. One was proposed by Gardner [14], and independently, by Zaharov and Faddeev [51], see others in, e.g., [9, 18, 31].

Let us consider two functionals $F(u)$ and $G(u)$, where $u \in H^\alpha(S^1)$ with the vanishing mean value. Expanding u into the Fourier series we obtain

$$u(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}'} u_n e^{inx},$$

where $u_{-n} = \bar{u}_n$, and $\mathbb{Z}' = \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. Then let us consider the functionals F and G as functions $F = F(q_1, q_2, \dots, p_1, p_2, \dots)$ and $G = G(q_1, q_2, \dots, p_1, p_2, \dots)$ with respect

$q_n = u_n/n$ and $p_n = \bar{u}_{-n}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}'$. The canonical symplectic form $dq \wedge d\bar{p}$ implies the Poisson structure

$$\{F, G\} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial q_n} \frac{\partial G}{\partial \bar{p}_n} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial \bar{p}_n} \frac{\partial G}{\partial q_n} \right) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}'} n \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_n} \frac{\partial G}{\partial u_{-n}}.$$

Observe that u_0 can be included now in the latter sum.

Take into account a useful formula for variational derivatives. If

$$F = \int_0^{2\pi} f(u, u', u'', \dots) dx,$$

then

$$\frac{\delta F}{\delta u} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} - \frac{d}{dx} \frac{\partial f}{\partial u'} + \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial u''} - \dots$$

Hence, if the function u depends on a parameter α , then integrating by parts we conclude that

$$\frac{dF}{d\alpha} = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\delta F}{\delta u} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \alpha} dx.$$

In particular,

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial u_n} = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\delta F}{\delta u} e^{inx} dx, \quad \frac{\delta F}{\delta u} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}'} \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_n} e^{inx} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}'} \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_{-n}} e^{-inx}.$$

Substituting this in the formula for the Poisson bracket we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \{F, G\} &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}'} n \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_n} \frac{\partial G}{\partial u_{-n}} dx = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}'} \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_n} e^{inx} \frac{\partial G}{\partial u_{-n}} n e^{-inx} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}'} \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_n} e^{inx} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}'} \frac{\partial G}{\partial u_{-k}} k e^{-ikx} dx = i \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\delta F}{\delta u} \frac{d}{dx} \frac{\delta G}{\delta u} dx, \end{aligned}$$

which is true for all functionals of the above integral form.

If we choose the Hamiltonian function in the form $H = -i \int_0^{2\pi} (\frac{1}{2}(u'^2) + u^3) dx$, then the evolution equation $\dot{u} = \{u, H\}$ admits the form

$$\dot{u} = i \frac{d}{dx} \frac{\delta H}{\delta u} = 6uu' + u'''.$$

One of the most important features of this equation is that it possesses an infinite number of conserved quantities (first integrals) $I_k[u]$, e.g.,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{-1} &= \int_0^{2\pi} u dx, \quad I_0 = \int_0^{2\pi} u^2 dx, \quad I_1 = \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\frac{1}{2}(u'^2) + u^3 \right) dx, \dots \\ \dots, I &= \int_0^{2\pi} \text{polynomial} \left(\frac{d}{dx}, \cdot u \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

which are all in involution. One observes the relation of this fact to the Liouville integrability for Hamiltonian systems. However, the proof of complete integrability is rather difficult task, which was fulfilled in [14, 51].

If we consider the conserved quantities as Hamiltonians, then we obtain a hierarchy constructed as

$$\dot{u} = \{u, -iI_n\} \equiv \frac{d}{dx} \frac{\delta I_n}{\delta u},$$

which is called the *KdV hierarchy*.

The above Poisson structure considered on the phase space formally can be rewritten (modulo a constant factor) as

$$[u(x), u(y)] = \gamma \delta'(x - y), \quad \gamma > 0.$$

It is degenerate because the center is one-dimensional and the admissible element

$$P = \int_0^{2\pi} u(x) dx.$$

commutes with all observables. Fixing P we get a submanifold which is symplectic. The Poisson structure on the phase space gives the Lie structure on the space of observables.

Hamiltonian itself is an observable, and for example, consideration of

$$H = \frac{1}{2\gamma} \int_0^{2\pi} u^2(x, t) dx$$

(corresponding to I_0) gives a trivial evolution equation of motion $\dot{u} = \{H, u\} = -u'$, $u = u(x - t)$. The infinite number of evolution equations generated by all integrals is the above KdV hierarchy. The Miura transformation $s = u^2 + u'$ reduces the modified KdV equation $\dot{u} = u''' + u^2 u'$ to the usual KdV and leads to the Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{1}{2\gamma} \int_0^{2\pi} (u^2 + u') dx.$$

The Poisson brackets for s satisfy the relation

$$\{s(x), s(y)\} = \gamma(2(s(x) + s(y)) + \delta'(x - y) + \delta'''(x - y)),$$

which is called *Magri brackets* [31].

Fourier coefficients of $s(x)$ are given as

$$L_n(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{inx} s(x) dx.$$

The corresponding Lie brackets are $\{L_m, L_n\}_{\text{vir}}$ where c is the central charge, $c = \frac{6\pi}{\gamma}$ (J.-L. Gervais [16]), or taking into account quantum effects $c = 1 + 6(\frac{\pi}{\gamma} + \frac{\gamma}{\pi} + 2)$, see [10] (one can observe here the famous gap in CFT for real $c \in (1, 25)$).

3.3. From Virasoro to KdV.

Let us define

$$u = \frac{6}{c} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L_n e^{-inx} - \frac{1}{4}$$

Then, using $\delta(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{inx}$ and the Virasoro commutation relation (2.6), we obtain

$$\{u(x), u(y)\} = \frac{6\pi}{c} (-\delta'''(x-y) + 4u(x)\delta'(x-y) + 2u'\delta(x-y)).$$

Taking $I_0 = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} u^2 dx$, we obtain

$$\dot{u} = \frac{c}{6\pi} \{u, I_0\} = u''' + 6uu'.$$

KdV as a non-linear PDE is related to the classical and quantum field theories in which the infinite number of degrees of freedom follows from the infinite number of degrees of freedom for the initial conditions. So it is not surprising to see relations between the Virasoro algebra and KdV. As we shall show in forthcoming sections, problems of completely different nature (the Löwner-Kufarev evolution) but also of the infinite number of degrees of freedom, lead to a rigid algebraic structure given by the Virasoro algebra.

4. Realization on the unit circle

Mathematically, the Virasoro algebra appeared first as a central extension of the Lie algebra of smooth vector fields $\phi \frac{d}{d\theta}$ on the unit circle S^1 (see [15]). Let us denote the Lie group of C^∞ sense preserving diffeomorphisms of the unit circle S^1 by $\text{Diff } S^1$. Each element of $\text{Diff } S^1$ is represented as $z = e^{i\alpha(\theta)}$ with a monotone increasing C^∞ real-valued function $\alpha(\theta)$, such that $\alpha(\theta + 2\pi) = \alpha(\theta) + 2\pi$. The space of smooth vector fields on a differentiable manifold S^1 forms a Lie algebra, where the Lie bracket is defined to be the commutator of vector fields. The relation of this Lie algebra to $\text{Diff } S^1$ is subtle. The Lie algebra to $\text{Diff } S^1$ can be associated with the left-invariant vector fields $\text{Vect } S^1$. But the exponential map, which is the same as the exponential map from the tangent space at the origin, is not even locally a homeomorphism. The infinitesimal action of $\text{Vect } S^1$ is $\theta \rightarrow \theta + \varepsilon \phi(\theta)$. To ϕ we associate the vector field $\phi \frac{d}{d\theta}$, and the Lie brackets are given by

$$(4.1) \quad [\phi_1, \phi_2] = \phi_1 \phi_2' - \phi_2 \phi_1'.$$

The Virasoro algebra is the unique (up to isomorphism) non-trivial central extension of $\text{Vect } S^1$ by $\mathbb{R}$ given by the *Gelfand-Fuchs cocycle* [15].

4.1. Canonical identification. As an infinite dimensional Lie-Fréchet group, $\text{Diff } S^1$ undergoes certain irregular behaviour, in particular, the exponential map from $\text{Vect } S^1$ is not a local homeomorphism. The entire necessary background of unitary representations of $\text{Diff } S^1$ is found in the study of Kirillov's homogeneous Kählerian manifold $\text{Diff } S^1/S^1$. We deal with the analytic representation of

$\text{Diff } S^1/S^1$. Let $\mathbf{S}$ stands for the whole class of univalent functions f in the unit disk U normalized by $f(z) = z(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n)$ about the origin. By $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}$ we denote the class of functions from $\mathbf{S}$ smooth (C^∞) on the boundary S^1 of U . Given a map $f \in \tilde{\mathbf{S}}$ we construct the adjoint univalent meromorphic map

$$g(z) = d_1 z + d_0 + \frac{d_{-1}}{z} + \dots,$$

defined in the exterior $U^* = \{z : |z| > 1\}$ of U , and such that $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \overline{f(U)} = g(U^*)$. Both functions are extendable onto S^1 . This conformal welding gives the identification of the homogeneous manifold $\text{Diff } S^1/S^1$ with the space $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}$: $\tilde{\mathbf{S}} \ni f \leftrightarrow f^{-1} \circ g|_{S^1} \in \text{Diff } S^1/S^1$, or with the smooth contours $\Gamma = f(S^1)$ that enclose univalent domains Ω of conformal radius 1 with respect to the origin and such that $\infty \notin \Omega$, $0 \in \Omega$, see [1], [23]. Being quasicircles, the smooth contours allow us to embed $\text{Diff } S^1/S^1$ into the universal Teichmüller space making use of the above conformal welding, see [45]. Coefficients of the univalent functions from $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}$ are the natural coordinates on the Teichmüller space. So one can construct complexification of $\text{Vect } S^1$ and further projection of the holomorphic part to the set $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathbb{C}^\mathbb{N}$ which is the projective limit of the coefficient bodies $\mathcal{M} = \lim_{n \leftarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}_n$, where

$$(4.2) \quad \mathcal{M}_n = \{(c_1, \dots, c_n) : f \in \tilde{\mathbf{S}}\}.$$

This construction relates the Kähler structure of both manifolds. The holomorphic Virasoro generators can then be realized by the first order differential operators

$$L_j = \partial_j + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k+1) c_k \partial_{j+k}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N},$$

in terms of the affine coordinates of $\mathcal{M}$, acting over the set of holomorphic functions, where $\partial_k = \partial/\partial c_k$. We explain the details in the next subsection.

4.2. Complexification. Let us introduce local coordinates on the manifold $\mathcal{M} = \text{Diff } S^1/S^1$ in the concordance with the local coordinates on the space $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}$ of univalent functions smooth on the boundary. Observe that $\mathcal{M}$ is a real infinite-dimensional manifold, whereas $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}$ is a complex manifold. We are aimed at a complexification of $T\mathcal{M}$ which admits a holomorphic projection to $T\tilde{\mathbf{S}}$, where as usual, $\text{Vect } {}_0S^1 = \text{Vect } S^1/\text{const}$ is a module over the ring of smooth functions, which is associated with the tangent bundle $T\mathcal{M}$.

Two operations are to be considered: *complexification*, *conjugation*, and *almost complex structure*. Given a real vector space V the complexification $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ is defined as the tensor product with the complex numbers $V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$, that often is written as $V_{\mathbb{C}} = V \oplus iV$. The subscript $\mathbb{R}$ indicates that we take the real tensor product, we omit it in the sequel. Elements of $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ are of the form $v \otimes z$. In addition, the vector space $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a complex vector space that follows by defining multiplication by complex numbers, $\alpha(v \otimes z) = v \otimes \alpha z$ for complex α and z and $v \in V$. The space V is naturally embedded

into $V \otimes \mathbb{C}$ by identifying V with $V \otimes 1$. Conjugation is defined by introducing a canonical conjugation map on $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ as $\overline{v \otimes z} = v \otimes \bar{z}$.

An almost *complex structure* J on V is a linear transformation $J : V \rightarrow V$ such that $J^2 = -I$. It can be extended by linearity to the complex structure J on $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ by $J(v \otimes z) = J(v) \otimes z$. Observe that

$$\overline{J(v \otimes z)} = \overline{Jv \otimes z} = Jv \otimes \bar{z} = J(v \otimes \bar{z}) = J(\overline{v \otimes z}).$$

Eigenvalues of extended J are $\pm i$, and there are two eigenspaces $V^{(1,0)}$ and $V^{(0,1)}$ corresponding to them given by projecting $\frac{1}{2}(1 \mp iJ)v$. $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ is decomposed into the direct sum $V_{\mathbb{C}} = V^{(1,0)} \oplus V^{(0,1)}$, where $V^{(1,0)} = \{v \otimes 1 - J(v) \otimes i \mid v \in V\}$ and $V^{(0,1)} = \{v \otimes 1 + J(v) \otimes i \mid v \in V\}$. In the case of existence of such a complex structure J , the vector spaces $V^{(1,0)}$ and $V^{(0,1)}$ give complex coordinates on V .

An almost complex structure on $\text{Vect } {}_0S^1$ may be defined as follows (see [1]). We identify $\text{Vect } {}_0S^1$ with the functions with vanishing mean value over S^1 . It gives

$$\phi(\theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cos n\theta + b_n \sin n\theta.$$

Let us define an almost complex structure by the operator

$$J(\phi)(\theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} -a_n \sin n\theta + b_n \cos n\theta.$$

Then $J^2 = -id$. On $\text{Vect } {}_0S^1 \otimes \mathbb{C}$, the operator J diagonalizes and we have the identification

$$\text{Vect } {}_0S^1 \ni \phi \leftrightarrow v := \frac{1}{2}(\phi - iJ(\phi)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n - ib_n)e^{in\theta} \in (\text{Vect } {}_0S^1 \otimes \mathbb{C})^{(1,0)},$$

and the latter extends into the unit disk as a holomorphic function.

The Kirillov infinitesimal action [22] of $\text{Vect } {}_0S^1$ on $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}$ is given by a variational formula due to Schaeffer and Spencer [44, page 32] which lifts the actions from the Lie algebra $\text{Vect } {}_0S^1$ onto $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}$. Let $f \in \tilde{\mathbf{S}}$ and let $\phi(e^{i\theta}) := \phi(\theta) \in \text{Vect } {}_0S^1$ be a C^∞ real-valued function in $\theta \in (0, 2\pi]$. The infinitesimal action $\theta \mapsto \theta + \varepsilon \phi(e^{i\theta})$ yields a variation of the univalent function $f^*(z) = f + \varepsilon \delta_v f(z) + o(\varepsilon)$, where

$$(4.3) \quad \delta_v f(z) = \frac{f^2(z)}{2\pi} \int_{S^1} \left(\frac{wf'(w)}{f(w)} \right)^2 \frac{v(w)dw}{w(f(w) - f(z))},$$

and $\phi \leftrightarrow v$ by the above identification. Kirillov and Yuriev [23], [24] (see also [1]) established that the variations $\delta_\phi f(\zeta)$ are closed with respect to the commutator (4.1), and the induced Lie algebra is the same as $\text{Vect } {}_0S^1$. The Schaeffer-Spencer operator is linear.

Treating $T\mathcal{M}$ as a real vector space, the operator δ_ϕ transfers the complex structure J from $\text{Vect } {}_0S^1$ to $T\mathcal{M}$ by $J(\delta_\phi) := \delta_{J(\phi)}$. By abuse of notation, we denote

the new complex structure on $T\mathcal{M}$ by the same character J . Then it splits the complexification $T\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}$ into two eigenspaces $T\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}} = T\mathcal{M}^{(1,0)} \oplus T\mathcal{M}^{(0,1)}$. Therefore, $\delta_v = \delta_{\phi - iJ(\phi)} := \delta_{\phi} - iJ(\delta_{\phi}) \in T\mathcal{M}^{(1,0)}$. Observe that $2z\partial_z = -i\partial_{\theta}$ on the unit circle $z = e^{i\theta}$, and $L_k = z^{k+1}\partial/\partial z = -\frac{1}{2}ie^{ik\theta}\partial/\partial\theta$ on S^1 . Let us take the basis of $\text{Vect } {}_0S^1 \otimes \mathbb{C}$ in the form $\nu_k = -ie^{ik\theta}$ in order to keep the index of vector fields the same as for L_k . Then, the commutator satisfies the Witt relation $\{\nu_m, \nu_n\} = (n-m)\nu_{n+m}$. Taking elements $\nu_k = -iw^k$, $|w| = 1$ in the integrand of (4.3) we calculate the residue in (4.3) and obtain so called Kirillov operators

$$L_j[f](z) = \delta_{\nu_j}f(z) = z^{j+1}f'(z), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots,$$

which are the holomorphic coordinates on $T\mathcal{M}^{(1,0)}$. In terms of the affine coordinates in $\mathcal{M}$ we get the Kirillov operators as

$$L_j = \partial_j + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k+1)c_k\partial_{j+k},$$

where $\partial_k = \partial/\partial c_k$. They satisfy the Witt commutation relation

$$\{L_m, L_n\} = (n-m)L_{n+m}.$$

For $k = 0$ we obtain the operator L_0 , which corresponds to the constant vectors from $\text{Vect } S^1$, $L_0[f](z) = zf'(z) - f(z)$. The elements of the Fourier basis $-ie^{-i\theta k}$ with negative indices (corresponding to $T\mathcal{M}^{(0,1)}$) are extended into U by $-iz^{-k}$. Substituting them in (4.3) we get very complex formulas for L_{-k} , which functionally depend on L_k (see [1], [22]) and might play the role of conjugates to L_k . The first two operators are calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} L_{-1}[f](z) &= f'(z) - 2c_1f(z) - 1, \\ L_{-2}[f](z) &= \frac{f'(z)}{z} - \frac{1}{f(z)} - 3c_1 + (c_1^2 - 4c_2)f(z), \end{aligned}$$

see [24].

This procedure gives a nice links between representations of the Virasoro algebra and the theory of univalent functions. The Löwner-Kufarev equations proved to be a powerful tool to work with univalent functions (the famous Bieberbach conjecture was proved [8] using Löwner method). In the following section we show how Löwner-Kufarev equations can be used in a representation of the Virasoro algebra. In particular, we identify $T\mathcal{M}^{(1,0)}$ with $T\mathcal{M}$, equipped with its natural complex structure given by coefficients of univalent functions, by means the Löwner-Kufarev PDE.

5. Löwner-Kufarev Equations

A time-parameter family $\Omega(t)$ of simply connected hyperbolic univalent domains forms a *Löwner subordination chain* in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$, for $0 \leq t < \tau$ (where τ

may be ∞), if $\Omega(t) \subsetneq \Omega(s)$, whenever $t < s$. We suppose that the origin is an interior point of the Carathéodory kernel of $\{\Omega(t)\}_{t=0}^\tau$.

A Löwner subordination chain $\Omega(t)$ is described by a time-dependent family of conformal maps $z = f(\zeta, t)$ from the unit disk $U = \{\zeta : |\zeta| < 1\}$ onto $\Omega(t)$, normalized by $f(\zeta, t) = a_1(t)\zeta + a_2(t)\zeta^2 + \dots$, $a_1(t) > 0$, $\dot{a}_1(t) > 0$. After Löwner's 1923 seminal paper [27] a fundamental contribution to the theory of Löwner chains was made by Pommerenke [39, 40] who described governing evolution equations in partial and ordinary derivatives, known now as the Löwner-Kufarev equations due to Kufarev's work [26].

One can normalize the growth of evolution of a subordination chain by the conformal radius of $\Omega(t)$ with respect to the origin by $a_1(t) = e^t$.

Löwner [27] studied a time-parameter semigroup of conformal one-slit maps of the unit disk U arriving then at an evolution equation called after him. His main achievement was an infinitesimal description of the semi-flow of such maps by the Schwarz kernel that led him to the Löwner equation. This crucial result was then generalized in several ways (see [40] and the references therein).

We say that the function p is from the Carathéodory class if it is analytic in U , normalized as $p(\zeta) = 1 + p_1\zeta + p_2\zeta^2 + \dots$, $\zeta \in U$, and such that $\operatorname{Re} p(\zeta) > 0$ in U . Pommerenke [39, 40] proved that given a subordination chain of domains $\Omega(t)$ defined for $t \in [0, \tau)$, there exists a function $p(\zeta, t)$, measurable in $t \in [0, \tau)$ for any fixed $z \in U$, and from the Carathéodory class for almost all $t \in [0, \tau)$, such that the conformal mapping $f : U \rightarrow \Omega(t)$ solves the equation

$$(5.1) \quad \frac{\partial f(\zeta, t)}{\partial t} = \zeta \frac{\partial f(\zeta, t)}{\partial \zeta} p(\zeta, t),$$

for $\zeta \in U$ and for almost all $t \in [0, \tau)$. The equation (5.1) is called the Löwner-Kufarev equation due to two seminal papers: by Löwner [27] who considered the case when

$$(5.2) \quad p(\zeta, t) = \frac{e^{iu(t)} + \zeta}{e^{iu(t)} - \zeta},$$

where $u(t)$ is a continuous function regarding to $t \in [0, \tau)$, and by Kufarev [26] who proved differentiability of f in t for all ζ from the kernel of $\{\Omega(t)\}$ in the case of general p in the Carathéodory class.

Let us consider a reverse process. We are given an initial domain $\Omega(0) \equiv \Omega_0$ (and therefore, the initial mapping $f(\zeta, 0) \equiv f_0(\zeta)$), and a function $p(\zeta, t)$ of positive real part normalized by $p(\zeta, t) = 1 + p_1\zeta + \dots$. Let us solve the equation (5.1) and ask ourselves, whether the solution $f(\zeta, t)$ defines a subordination chain of simply connected univalent domains $f(U, t)$. The initial condition $f(\zeta, 0) = f_0(\zeta)$ is not given on the characteristics of the partial differential equation (5.1), hence the solution exists and is unique but not necessarily univalent. Assuming s as a parameter along

the characteristics we have

$$\frac{dt}{ds} = 1, \quad \frac{d\zeta}{ds} = -\zeta p(\zeta, t), \quad \frac{df}{ds} = 0,$$

with the initial conditions $t(0) = 0$, $\zeta(0) = z$, $f(\zeta, 0) = f_0(\zeta)$, where z is in U . Obviously, $t = s$. Observe that the domain of ζ is the entire unit disk. However, the solutions to the second equation of the characteristic system range within the unit disk but do not fill it. Therefore, introducing another letter w (in order to distinguish the function $w(z, t)$ from the variable ζ) we arrive at the Cauchy problem for the Löwner-Kufarev equation in ordinary derivatives

$$(5.3) \quad \frac{dw}{dt} = -wp(w, t),$$

for a function $\zeta = w(z, t)$ with the initial condition $w(z, 0) = z$. The equation (5.3) is a non-trivial characteristic equation for (5.1). Unfortunately, this approach requires the extension of $f_0(w^{-1}(\zeta, t))$ into the whole U (w^{-1} means the inverse function) because the solution to (5.1) is the function $f(\zeta, t)$ given as $f_0(w^{-1}(\zeta, t))$, where $\zeta = w(z, s)$ is a solution of the initial value problem for the characteristic equation (5.3) that maps U into U . Therefore, the solution of the initial value problem for the equation (5.1) may be non-univalent.

Solutions to the equation (5.3) are holomorphic univalent functions $w(z, t) = e^{-t}z + a_2(t)z^2 + \dots$ in the unit disk that map U into itself. Every function f from the class $\mathbf{S}$ can be represented by the limit

$$(5.4) \quad f(z) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^t w(z, t),$$

where $w(z, t)$ is a solution to (5.3) with some function $p(z, t)$ of positive real part for almost all $t \geq 0$ (see [40, pages 159–163]). Each function $p(z, t)$ generates a unique function from the class $\mathbf{S}$. The reciprocal statement is not true. In general, a function $f \in \mathbf{S}$ can be obtained using different functions $p(\cdot, t)$.

Now we are ready to formulate the condition of univalence of the solution to the equation (5.1), which can be obtained by combination of known results of [40].

THEOREM 1. [40, 41] *Given a function $p(\zeta, t)$ of positive real part normalized by $p(\zeta, t) = 1 + p_1\zeta + \dots$, the solution to the equation (5.1) is unique, analytic and univalent with respect to ζ for almost all $t \geq 0$, if and only if, the initial condition $f_0(\zeta)$ is taken in the form (5.4), where the function $w(\zeta, t)$ is the solution to the equation (5.3) with the same driving function p .*

Recently, we started to look at Löwner-Kufarev equations from the point of view of motion in the space of univalent functions where Hamiltonian and Lagrangian formalisms play a central role (see, [46]). Some connections with the Virasoro algebra were also observed in [33, 46]. The present paper generalizes these attempts and gives their closed form. The main conclusion is that the Löwner-Kufarev equations

are naturally linked to the holomorphic part of the Virasoro algebra. Taking holomorphic Virasoro generators L_n as a basis of the tangent space to the coefficient body for univalent functions at a fixed point, we see that the driving function in the Löwner-Kufarev theory generates generalized moments for motions within the space of univalent functions. Its norm represents the energy of this motion. The holomorphic Virasoro generators in their co-tangent form will become conserved quantities of the Löwner-Kufarev ODE. The Löwner-Kufarev PDE becomes a transition formula from the affine basis to Kirillov's basis of the holomorphic part of the complexified tangent space to $\mathcal{M}$ at any point. Finally, we propose to study an alternate Löwner-Kufarev evolution instead of subordination.

6. Witt algebra and the classical Löwner-Kufarev equations

In the following subsections we reveal the structural role of the Witt algebra as a background of the classical Löwner-Kufarev contour evolution. As we see further, the conformal anomaly and the Virasoro algebra appear as a quantum or stochastic effect in SLE.

6.1. Löwner-Kufarev ODE. Let us consider the functions

$$w(z, t) = e^{-t} z \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n(t) z^n \right),$$

satisfying the Löwner-Kufarev ODE

$$(6.1) \quad \frac{dw}{dt} = -wp(w, t),$$

with the initial condition $w(z, 0) = z$, and with the function $p(z, t) = 1 + p_1(t)z + \dots$ which is holomorphic in U and measurable with respect to $t \in [0, \infty)$, such that $\operatorname{Re} p > 0$ in U . The function $w(z, t)$ is univalent and maps U into U .

LEMMA 1. *Let the function $w(z, t)$ be a solution to the Cauchy problem for the equation (6.1) with the initial condition $w(z, 0) = z$. If the driving function $p(\cdot, t)$, being from the Carathéodory class for almost all $t \geq 0$, is C^∞ smooth in the closure $\hat{U}$ of the unit disk U and summable with respect to t , then the boundaries of the domains $B(t) = w(U, t) \subset U$ are smooth for all t .*

PROOF. Observe that the continuous and differentiable dependence of the solution of a differential equation $\dot{x} = F(t, x)$ on the initial condition $x(0) = x_0$ is a classical problem. One can refer, e.g., to [49] in order to assure that summability of $F(\cdot, x)$ regarding to t for each fixed x and continuous differentiability (C^1 with respect to x for almost all t) imply that the solution $x(t, x_0)$ exists, is unique, and is C^1 with respect to x_0 . In our case, the solution to (6.1) exists, is unique and analytic in U ,

and, moreover, C^1 on its boundary S^1 . Let us differentiate (6.1) inside the unit disk U with respect to z and write

$$\log w' = - \int_0^t (p(w(z, \tau), \tau) + w(z, \tau)p'(w(z, \tau), \tau))d\tau,$$

choosing the branch of the logarithm such as $\log w'(0, t) = -t$. This equality is extendable onto S^1 because the right-hand side is, and therefore, w' is C^1 and w is C^2 on S^1 . We continue analogously and write the formula

$$w'' = -w' \int_0^t (2w'(z, \tau)p'(w(z, \tau), \tau) + w(z, \tau)w'(z, \tau)p''(w(z, \tau), \tau))d\tau,$$

which guarantees that w is C^3 on S^1 . Finally, we come to the conclusion that w is C^∞ on S^1 . $\square$

Let $f(z, t)$ denote $e^t w(z, t)$. The limit $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(z, t)$ is known [40] to be a representation of all univalent functions.

Let the driving term $p(z, t)$ in the Löwner-Kufarev ODE be from the Carathéodory class for almost all $t \geq 0$, C^∞ smooth in $\hat{U}$, and summable with respect to t . Then the domains $\Omega(t) = w(U, t)$ have smooth boundary $\partial\Omega(t)$. So the Löwner equation can be extended onto the closed unit disk $\hat{U} = U \cup S^1$.

Consider the Hamiltonian given by

$$(6.2) \quad H = \int_{z \in S^1} f(z, t)(1 - p(e^{-t}f(z, t), t))\bar{\psi}(z, t)\frac{dz}{iz},$$

on the unit circle $z \in S^1$, where $\psi(z, t)$ is a formal series

$$\psi(z, t) = \sum_{n=-k}^{\infty} \psi_n z^n,$$

defined about the unit circle S^1 for any $k \geq 0$. The Poisson structure on the space $(f, \bar{\psi})$ is given by the canonical brackets

$$\{P, Q\} = \frac{\delta P}{\delta f} \frac{\delta Q}{\delta \bar{\psi}} - \frac{\delta P}{\delta \bar{\psi}} \frac{\delta Q}{\delta f},$$

or in coordinate form (only ψ_n for $n \geq 1$ are independent co-vectors corresponding to the tangent vectors ∂_n with respect to the canonical Hermitean product for analytic functions)

$$\{p, q\} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial p}{\partial c_n} \frac{\partial q}{\partial \bar{\psi}_n} - \frac{\partial p}{\partial \bar{\psi}_n} \frac{\partial q}{\partial c_n}.$$

Here

$$P(t) = \int_{z \in S^1} p(z, t) \frac{dz}{iz}, \quad Q(t) = \int_{z \in S^1} q(z, t) \frac{dz}{iz}.$$

The Hamiltonian system becomes

$$(6.3) \quad \frac{df(z, t)}{dt} = f(1 - p(e^{-t}f, t)) = \frac{\delta H}{\delta \bar{\psi}} = \{f, H\},$$

for the position coordinates and

$$(6.4) \quad \frac{d\bar{\psi}}{dt} = -(1 - p(e^{-t}f, t) - e^{-t}fp'(e^{-t}f, t))\bar{\psi} = \frac{-\delta H}{\delta f} = \{\bar{\psi}, H\},$$

for the momenta, where $\frac{\delta}{\delta f}$ and $\frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{\psi}}$ are the variational derivatives. So the phase coordinates $(f, \bar{\psi})$ play the role of the canonical Hamiltonian pair.

The coefficients c_n are the complex local coordinates on $\mathcal{M}$, so in these coordinates we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{c}_n &= c_n - \frac{e^t}{2\pi i} \int_{S^1} w(z, t) p(w(z, t), t) \frac{dz}{z^{n+2}}, \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S^1} \sum_{k=1}^n e^{-kt} (e^t w)^{k+1} p_k \frac{dz}{z^{n+2}}, \quad n \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Let us fix some n and project the infinite dimensional Hamiltonian system on an n -dimensional $\mathcal{M}_n$. Momenta in coordinates form an adjoint vector $\bar{\psi}(t) = (\bar{\psi}_1(t), \dots, \bar{\psi}_n(t))^T$, with complex-valued coordinates $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n$ for any fixed n . The dynamical equations for momenta governed by the Hamiltonian function (6.2) are

$$\dot{\bar{\psi}}_j = -\bar{\psi}_j + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{k=1}^n \bar{\psi}_k \int_{S^1} (p + wp') \frac{dz}{z^{k-j+1}}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

and

$$(6.5) \quad \dot{\bar{\psi}}_n = 0.$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{c}_1 &= -e^{-t} p_1, \\ \dot{c}_2 &= -2e^{-t} p_1 c_1 - e^{-2t} p_2, \\ \dot{c}_3 &= -e^{-t} p_1 (2c_2 + c_1^2) - 3e^{-2t} p_2 c_1 - e^{-3t} p_3, \\ \dots &\quad \dots \end{aligned}$$

for $n = 3$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\bar{\psi}}_1 &= 2e^{-t}p_1\bar{\psi}_2 + (2e^{-t}p_1c_1 + 3e^{-2t}p_2)\bar{\psi}_3, \\ \dot{\bar{\psi}}_2 &= 2e^{-t}p_1\bar{\psi}_3, \\ \dot{\bar{\psi}}_3 &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Let us set the function $L(z) := f'(z, t)\bar{\psi}(z, t)$. Let $(L(z))_{<0}$ mean the part of the Laurent series for $L(z)$ with negative powers of z ,

$$(L(z))_{<0} = (\bar{\psi}_1 + 2c_1\bar{\psi}_2 + 3c_2\bar{\psi}_3 + \dots)\frac{1}{z} + (\bar{\psi}_2 + 2c_1\bar{\psi}_3 + \dots)\frac{1}{z^2} + \dots = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{L_k}{z^k}.$$

Then, the functions $L(z)$ and $(L(z))_{<0}$ are time-independent for all $z \in S^1$.

It is easily seen that, passing from the cotangent vectors $\bar{\psi}_k$ to the tangent vectors ∂_k , the coefficients L_k of $(L(z))_{<0}$ defined on the tangent bundle $T\mathcal{M}^{(1,0)}$ are exactly the Kirillov vector fields L_k . Corresponding co-vector fields L_k are conserved by the Löwner-Kufarev ODE because $\dot{L}_k = \{L_k, H\} = 0$. The above Poisson structure coincides with that given by the Witt brackets introduced for L_k previously. For finite-dimensional grades this result was obtained in [33].

Let us formulate the result as a theorem.

THEOREM 2. *Let the driving term $p(z, t)$ in the Löwner-Kufarev ODE be from the Carathéodory class for almost all $t \geq 0$, C^∞ smooth in $\hat{U}$, and summable with respect to t . Then the Kirillov co-vector fields are the conserved quantities for the Hamiltonian system (6.3–6.4) generated by the Löwner-Kufarev ODE.*

REMARK 1. *Another way to construct a Hamiltonian system could be based on the symplectic structure given by the Kählerian form on $\text{Diff } S^1/S^1$. However, there is no explicit expression for such form in terms of functions $f \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}$. Moreover, there must be a Hamiltonian formulation in which the Löwner-Kufarev equation becomes an evolution equation. This remains an open problem.*

REMARK 2. *At a first glance the situation with an ODE with a parameter is quite simple. Indeed, if we solve an equation of type $\dot{f}(t, e^{i\theta}) = F(f(t, e^{i\theta}), t)$, then fixing θ we have an integral of motion $C = I(f(t, \cdot), t) = \text{const}$. Then, releasing θ , we have $C(e^{i\theta}) = I(f(t, e^{i\theta}), t)$. Expanding $C(e^{i\theta})$ into the Fourier series, we obtain an infinite number of conserved quantities, but they do not manifest an infinite number of degrees of freedom that govern the motion as in the field theory where the governing equations are PDE. In our case, we have not only one trajectory fixing the initial condition but a pencil of trajectories because our equation has an infinite number of control parameters, the Taylor coefficients of the function $p(z, t)$, which form a bounded non-linear set of admissible controls. Therefore, we operate with sections of the tangent and co-tangent bundles to the infinite dimensional manifold $\mathcal{M}$ instead of vector fields along one trajectory as in usual ODE.*

REMARK 3. No linear combinations L_k^* of $L_1, \dots, L_n, \dots$ allows us to reduce the system of $\{L_k\}$ to a new system of involutory $\{L_k^*\}$ in order to claim the Liouville integrability of our system. Observe that the coefficients in these linear combinations must be constants to keep conservation laws.

6.2. Construction of L_0 and L_{-n} . Consider again the generating function $L(z) = f'(z, t)\bar{\psi}(z, t)$ and the ‘non-negative’ part $(L(z))_{\geq 0}$ of the Laurent series for $L(z)$,

$$\begin{aligned} (L(z))_{\geq 0} &= (\bar{\psi}_0 + 2c_1\bar{\psi}_1 + 3c_2\bar{\psi}_2 + \dots) + (\bar{\psi}_{-1} + 2c_1\bar{\psi}_0 + 3c_2\bar{\psi}_1 + \dots)z + \dots \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_{-k} z^k. \end{aligned}$$

All $\mathcal{L}_{-k}$ are conserved by the construction. Define $\bar{\psi}_0^* = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \bar{\psi}_n$, and

$$L_0 = \mathcal{L}_0 - (\bar{\psi}_0 - \bar{\psi}_0^*).$$

The operator L_0 acts on the class $\mathbf{S}$ by $L_0[f](z) = zf'(z) - f(z)$. Next define $L_{-1} = \mathcal{L}_{-1} - (\bar{\psi}_{-1} - \bar{\psi}_{-1}^*) - 2c_1(\bar{\psi}_0 - \bar{\psi}_0^*)$, where $\bar{\psi}_{-1}^* = 0$. Then,

$$L_{-1}[f](z) = f'(z) - 2c_1 f(z) - 1$$

Finally,

$$L_{-2} = \mathcal{L}_{-2} - (\bar{\psi}_{-2} - \bar{\psi}_{-2}^*) - 2c_1(\bar{\psi}_{-1} - \bar{\psi}_{-1}^*) - 3c_2(\bar{\psi}_0 - \bar{\psi}_0^*).$$

We choose $\bar{\psi}_{-2}^* = (c_3 - 3c_1c_2 + c_1^3)\bar{\psi}_1 + \dots$, so that

$$\bar{\psi}_{-2}^*[f](z) = \frac{1}{z} - \frac{1}{f(z)} - c_1 - (c_2 - c_1^2)f(z),$$

and

$$L_{-2}[f](z) = \frac{f'(z)}{z} - \frac{1}{f(z)} - 3c_1 + (c_1^2 - 4c_2)f(z).$$

An important fact is that

$$\begin{aligned} L_0 &= c_1\bar{\psi}_1 + 2c_2\bar{\psi}_2 + \dots, \\ L_{-1} &= (3c_2 - 2c_1^2)\bar{\psi}_1 + \dots, \\ L_{-2} &= (5c_3 - 6c_1c_2 + 2c_1^3)\bar{\psi}_1 + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

are linear with respect to $\bar{\psi}_k$, $k \geq 1$, and therefore, are co-vectors. Equivalently

$$L_{0,-1,-2}[f](z) = \text{function}(c_1, c_2, \dots)z^2 + \dots, \quad z^k = \frac{\partial f}{\partial c_{k-1}}.$$

All other co-vectors we construct by our Poisson brackets as

$$L_{-n} = \frac{1}{n-2}\{L_{-n+1}, L_{-1}\} = \frac{1}{n-4}\{L_{-n+2}, L_{-2}\}.$$

The form of the Poisson brackets guarantees us that all L_{-n} are linear with respect to $\bar{\psi}_1, \bar{\psi}_2, \dots$ and span the anti-holomorphic part of the co-tangent bundle $T^{(0,1)*}\mathcal{M}$.

Let us summarize the above in the following conclusion. We considered a non-linear contour dynamics given by the Löwner-Kufarev equation. It turned out to be underlined by an algebraic structure, namely, by the Witt algebra spanned by the Virasoro generators L_n , $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

- L_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$ are the holomorphic Virasoro generators. They span a holomorphic vector bundle over the space of univalent functions $\mathbf{S}$, smooth on the boundary. In their co-vector form, L_n are conserved by the Löwner-Kufarev evolution.
- L_0 is the central element.
- L_{-n} , $n = 1, 2, \dots$ are the antiholomorphic Virasoro generators. They span the antiholomorphic vector bundle. In their co-vector form, L_{-n} contain a conserved part and we give an iterative method to obtain all of them based on the Poisson structure of the Löwner-Kufarev evolution.

6.3. Löwner-Kufarev PDE. The Löwner equation in partial derivatives is

$$\dot{w}(\zeta, t) = \zeta w'(\zeta, t) p(\zeta, t), \quad \operatorname{Re} p(\zeta, t) > 0, \quad |\zeta| < 1.$$

with some initial condition $w(z, 0) = f_0(z)$. Let us consider the one-parameter family of functions $f(z, t) = e^{-t} w(z, t) = z(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n(t) z^n)$, $f(z, 0) = f_0(z)$ as a C^1 path in $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}$. At the identity id we have that $T_{id}\tilde{\mathbf{S}} = T_{id}\mathcal{M}^{(1,0)} = T_{id}\mathcal{M}$. A path in the coefficient body $\mathcal{M}$ in the neighbourhood of the identity is $(c_1(t), \dots, c_n(t), \dots)$ with the velocity vector $\dot{c}_1\partial_1 + \dots + \dot{c}_n\partial_n + \dots \in T_{id}\mathcal{M}$.

Taking the Virasoro generators $\{L_k\}$, $k \geq 1$, as an algebraic basis in $T\mathcal{M}_{id}^{(1,0)}$ we wish the velocity vector written in this new basis to be

$$(6.6) \quad \dot{c}_1\partial_1 + \dots + \dot{c}_n\partial_n + \dots = u_1L_1 + \dots u_nL_n + \dots$$

We compare (6.6) with the Löwner-Kufarev equation

$$(6.7) \quad \dot{f} = \dot{c}_1\partial_1 + \dots + \dot{c}_n\partial_n + \dots = z f' p(z, t) - f = L_0 + u_1L_1 + \dots u_nL_n + \dots,$$

where $p(z, t) = 1 + u_1z + \dots + u_nz^n + \dots$, and $L_0f = zf' - f$. In view of similarity between these two expressions (6.6) and (6.7), we notice that

- a new term L_0 appears in the Löwner-Kufarev equation;
- the function $p(z, t)$ with positive real part corresponds to subordination, whereas for generic trajectories it may have real part of arbitrary sign. We call this an *alternate Löwner-Kufarev evolution*;
- the vector L_0 corresponds exactly to the rotation:

$$e^{i\varepsilon} f(e^{-i\varepsilon} z) = f(z) - i\varepsilon(zf'(z) - f(z)) + o(\varepsilon).$$

Let us consider the set $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}_0$ of non-normalized smooth univalent functions of the form $F(z, t) = a_0(t)z + a_1(t)z^2 + \dots$, with a tangent vector $\dot{a}_0\partial_0 + \dots + \dot{a}_n\partial_n + \dots$, where $\partial_k = \partial/\partial a_k$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Our aim is to define two distributions of co-dimension 1 for the tangent bundle $T\tilde{\mathbf{S}}_0$, that form the tangent bundle $T\tilde{\mathbf{S}}$. This will

be realized by means of formulas (6.6) and (6.7). Notice that $\partial_k F = z^{k+1}$. Setting $L_k(F) := z^{k+1}F'$ we get

$$\dot{F} = \dot{a}_0 \partial_0 + \dots + \dot{a}_n \partial_n + \dots = z f' p(z, t) = u_0 L_0 + u_1 L_1 + \dots u_n L_n + \dots,$$

where $p(z, t) = u_0 + u_1 z + \dots + u_n z^n + \dots$. This alternate Löwner-Kufarev equation represents recalculation of the tangent vector in the new basis

$$\dot{a}_0 \partial_0 + \dots + \dot{a}_n \partial_n + \dots = u_0 L_0 + \dots u_n L_n + \dots,$$

where $L_k = a_0 \partial_k + 2a_1 \partial_{k+1} + \dots$.

Let us present the distributions. We start with $F \in \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_0$, then we define $f \in \tilde{\mathbf{S}}$. The necessary distribution is the map

$$\tilde{\mathbf{S}}_0 \ni F \rightarrow T_f \tilde{\mathbf{S}} \hookrightarrow T_F \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_0.$$

The analytic form of the first distribution is the following factorization $f_1(z, t) = \frac{1}{a_0} F(z, t) = z + \frac{a_1}{a_0} z^2 + \dots$, so that

$$(6.8) \quad \dot{f}_1 = z f'_1 p(z, t) - \frac{\dot{a}_0}{a_0} f_1,$$

where $u_0 = \frac{\dot{a}_0}{a_0}$. Then we obtain

$$\dot{c}_1 \partial_1 + \dots + \dot{c}_n \partial_n + \dots = \hat{L}_0 + u_1 \hat{L}_1 + \dots + u_n \hat{L}_n + \dots$$

where $\hat{L}_0 f_1 = u_0 (z f'_1 - f_1)$, $\hat{L}_k f_1 = z^{k+1} f'_1$, $c_k = \frac{a_k}{a_0}$, $\partial_k = \frac{\partial}{\partial c_k}$. In particular, $a_0 = e^t$ implies the Löwner-Kufarev equation for arbitrary sign of $\text{Re } p$.

The analytic form of the second distribution becomes $f_2(z, t) = F(\frac{1}{a_0} z, t) = z + \frac{a_1}{a_0^2} z^2 + \dots$, so that

$$(6.9) \quad \dot{f}_2 = z f'_2 p(\frac{z}{a_0}, t) - \frac{\dot{a}_0}{a_0} z f'_2,$$

where again $u_0 = \frac{\dot{a}_0}{a_0}$. In the coefficient form we get

$$\dot{c}_1 \partial_1 + \dots + \dot{c}_n \partial_n + \dots = u_1 \tilde{L}_1 + \dots + u_n \tilde{L}_n + \dots$$

where $\tilde{L}_k f_2 = z^{k+1} f'_2$, $c_k = \frac{a_k}{a_0^{k+1}}$, $\partial_k = \frac{\partial}{\partial c_k}$.

Observe that the equation (6.9) gives another identification of $T\mathcal{M}^{(1,0)}$ with $T\mathcal{M}$.

Finally, let us make an explicit calculation of $\hat{L}_0$, which for $a_0 = e^t$ we continue to denote by L_0 . Using Kirilov's basis $L_1, L_2, \dots$ as a linear combination we write

$$L_0 = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \Pi_m L_m.$$

The coefficients Π_m are polynomials, which can be obtained using the following recurrent formulas

$$K_1 = 0, \quad K_m = - \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} j(m-j+1)c_{m-j}c_j, \quad \Pi_m = mc_m + \sum_{j=1}^m K_{m-j+1}P_{j-1},$$

where P_k are polynomials

$$(6.10) \quad P_0 = 1, \quad P_1 = -2c_1, \quad P_2 = 4c_1^2 - 3c_2, \quad P_k = - \sum_{j=1}^k (j+1)c_j P_{k-j},$$

Let us summarize the above considerations in the following theorem.

THEOREM 3. *The Löwner-Kufarev PDE (6.8) gives the distribution of co-dimension 1 inside the tangent bundle $T\tilde{\mathbf{S}}_0$ of non-normalized smooth univalent functions $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}_0$, that forms the tangent bundle $T\tilde{\mathbf{S}}$.*

The equation (6.9) gives another distribution, and moreover, it makes the explicit correspondence between the natural complex structure of $T\tilde{\mathbf{S}}$ and the complex structure of $T\mathcal{M}^{(1,0)}$ at each point $f \in \tilde{\mathbf{S}}$.

One of the reason to consider the alternate Löwner-Kufarev PDE is the regularized canonical Brownian motion on smooth Jordan curves. For all Sobolev metrics $H^{\frac{3}{2}+\varepsilon}$, the classical theory of stochastic flows allows to construct Brownian motions on C^1 diffeomorphism group of S^1 . The case $3/2$ is critical. Malliavin [32] constructed the canonical Brownian motion on the Lie algebra $\text{Vect } S^1$ for the Sobolev norm $H^{3/2}$. Another construction was proposed in [12]. Airault and Ren [2] proved that the infinitesimal version of the Brownian flow is Hölder continuous with any exponent $\beta < 1$.

The regularized canonical Brownian motion on $\text{Diff } S^1$ is a stochastic flow on S^1 associated to the Itô stochastic differential equation

$$dg_{x,t}^r = d\zeta_{x,t}^r(g_{x,t}^r),$$

$$\zeta_{x,t}^r(\theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{r^n}{\sqrt{n^3 - n}} (x_{2n}(t) \cos n\theta - x_{2n-1}(t) \sin n\theta),$$

where $\{x_k\}$ is a sequence of independent real-valued Brownian motions and $r \in (0, 1)$ and the series for $\zeta_{x,t}^r(\theta)$ is a Gaussian trigonometric series. Kunita's theory of stochastic flows asserts that the mapping $\theta \rightarrow g_{x,t}^r(\theta)$ is a C^∞ diffeomorphism and the limit $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} g_{x,t}^r = g_{x,t}$ exists uniformly in θ . The random homeomorphism $g_{x,t}$ is called *canonical Brownian motion* on $\text{Diff } S^1$, see [2, 12, 32, 42]. It was shown in [2, 12], that this random homeomorphism is Hölder continuous.

The canonical Brownian motion can be defined not only on $\text{Diff } S^1$, but also on the space of C^∞ -smooth Jordan curves by conformal welding. This leads to dynamics of random loops which are not subordinated.

7. Elliptic operators over the coefficient body

The Kirillov first order differential operators L_k generate the elliptic operator $\sum |L_k|^2$. In this section we construct the geodesic equation and find geodesics with constant velocity coordinates in the field of this operator. In particular, we shall prove that the norm of the driving function in the Löwner-Kufarev theory gives the minimal energy of the motion in this field.

7.1. Dynamics within the coefficient body. This subsection is auxiliary. Let us recall the geometry of the coefficient body $\mathcal{M}_n$ for finite n with respect to Kirillov's basis L_k , $k = 1, \dots, n$ of the tangent bundle $T\mathcal{M}_n$. The affine coordinates are introduced by projecting

$$\mathcal{M} \ni f = z \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k z^k \right) \mapsto (c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \mathcal{M}_n.$$

The manifold $\mathcal{M}_n$ was studied actively in the middle of the last century, see e.g., [5, 44]. We compile some important properties of $\mathcal{M}_n$ below:

- (i) $\mathcal{M}_n$ is homeomorphic to a $(2n - 2)$ -dimensional ball and its boundary $\partial\mathcal{M}_n$ is homeomorphic to a $(2n - 3)$ -dimensional sphere;
- (ii) every point $x \in \partial\mathcal{M}_n$ corresponds to exactly one function $f \in \mathbf{S}$ which is called a *boundary function* for $\mathcal{M}_n$;
- (iii) boundary functions map the unit disk U onto the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ minus piecewise analytic Jordan arcs forming a tree with a root at infinity and having at most n tips,
- (iv) with the exception for a set of smaller dimension, at every point $x \in \partial\mathcal{M}_n$ there exists a normal vector satisfying the Lipschitz condition;
- (v) there exists a connected open set X_1 on $\partial\mathcal{M}_n$, such that the boundary $\partial\mathcal{M}_n$ is an analytic hypersurface at every point of X_1 . The points of $\partial\mathcal{M}_n$ corresponding to the functions that give the extremum to a linear functional belong to the closure of X_1 .

Properties (ii) and (iii) imply that the functions from $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}$ deliver interior points of $\mathcal{M}_n$. The Kirillov operators L_j restricted onto $\mathcal{M}_n$ give truncated vector fields

$$L_j = \partial_j + \sum_{k=1}^{n-j} (k+1) c_k \partial_{j+k},$$

which we, if it causes no confusion, continue denoting by L_j in this section. In [33] based on the Löwner-Kufarev representation, we showed that these L_j can be obtained from a partially integrable Hamiltonian system for the coefficients in which the first integrals coincide with L_j .

Let $c(t) = (c_1(t), \dots, c_n(t))$ be a smooth trajectory in $\mathcal{M}_n$; that is a C^1 map $c : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n$. Then the velocity vector $\dot{c}(t)$ written in the affine basis as $\dot{c}(t) =$

$\dot{c}_1(t) \partial_1 + \dots + \dot{c}_n(t) \partial_n$ can be also represented in the basis of vector fields $L_1, \dots, L_n$ (compare with (6.9)) as

$$(7.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{c}(t) &= \dot{c}_1(t) \partial_1 + \dots + \dot{c}_n(t) \partial_n \\ &= u_1 L_1 + u_2 L_3 + \dots + u_n L_n, \end{aligned}$$

where the coefficients u_k can be written in the recurrent form as

$$(7.2) \quad u_1 = \dot{c}_1, \quad u_k = \dot{c}_k - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (j+1) \dot{c}_j u_{k-j}.$$

Expressing u_k in terms of c_k and $\dot{c}_k$, we get

$$(7.3) \quad u_k = \dot{c}_k + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} P_j \dot{c}_{k-j}.$$

One may notice that these polynomials are the first coefficients of the holomorphic function $1/f'(z)$, where $f \in \tilde{\mathbf{S}}$. In the infinite dimensional case this follows from the Löwner-Kufarev equation (6.9) with $a_0 = e^t$. Kirillov's fields L_k act over these polynomials as

$$L_k P_n = (n - 2k - 1) P_{n-k} \quad n \geq k \quad \text{and} \quad L_k P_n = 0 \quad n < k.$$

PROPOSITION 1. *Let us give the conjugate to $\{L_1, \dots, L_n\}$ basis of one-forms. We define*

$$(7.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \omega_1 &= dc_1, \\ \omega_2 &= dc_2 - 2c_1 \omega_1, \\ \dots &\dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots, \\ \omega_n &= dc_n - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (j+1) c_j \omega_{n-j}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\omega_n(L_n) = 1, \quad \omega_n(L_k) = 0 \quad \text{if } k \neq n.$$

PROOF. If $k > n$, then the vector fields L_k do not contain ∂_n for $k > n$. Since the form ω_n depends only on dc_j with $j < n$, then

$$\omega_n(L_k) = \partial_n(L_k) - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (j+1) c_j \omega_{n-j}(L_k) = 0 \quad \text{for } k > n > n-j.$$

If $n = k$, then

$$\omega_n(L_n) = \partial_n(L_n) - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (j+1) c_j \omega_{n-j}(L_n) = 1 + 0 \quad \text{for } n > n-j.$$

To prove the case $k < n$ we apply the induction. Let us show for L_1 . We have

$$\omega_2(L_1) = dc_2(L_1) - 2c_1(L_1) = 2c_1 - 2c_1 = 0.$$

We suppose that $\omega_n(L_1) = 0$. Then

$$\omega_{n+1}(L_1) = dc_{n+1}(L_1) - \sum_{j=1}^n (j+1)c_j\omega_{n+1-j}(L_1) = (n+1)c_n - (n+1)c_n\omega_1(L_1) = 0.$$

The same arguments work for $\omega_n(L_k)$ with $k < n$. $\square$

In the affine basis the forms can be written making use of the polynomials P_n . We observe that one-forms ω_k are defined in a similar way as the coordinates u_k with respect to the Kirillov vector fields L_k . Thus, if we develop the recurrent relations (7.4) and collect the terms with dc_n we get

$$\omega_k = dc_k + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} P_j dc_{k-j}. \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

By the duality of tangent and co-tangent bundles the information about the motion is encoded by these one-forms.

7.2. Hamiltonian equations. There exists an Hermitian form on $T\mathcal{M}_n$, such that the system $\{L_1, \dots, L_n\}$ is orthonormal with respect to this form. The operator $L = \sum |L_k|^2$ is elliptic, and we write the Hamilton function $H(c, \bar{c}, \psi, \bar{\psi})$ defined on the co-tangent bundle, corresponding to the operator L as $H(c, \bar{c}, \psi, \bar{\psi}) = \sum_{k=1}^n |l_k|^2$, where

$$l_k = \bar{\psi}_k + \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} (j+1)c_j\bar{\psi}_{k+j}.$$

The corresponding Hamiltonian system admits the form

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{c}_1 &= \frac{\partial H}{\partial \bar{\psi}_1} = \bar{l}_1 \\ \dots &= \dots\dots\dots \\ \dot{c}_n &= \frac{\partial H}{\partial \bar{\psi}_n} = \bar{l}_n + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (j+1)c_j\bar{l}_{n-j} \\ \dot{\bar{\psi}}_p &= -\frac{\partial H}{\partial c_p} = -(p+1) \sum_{k=1}^{n-p} l_k\bar{\psi}_{k+p} \\ \dots &= \dots\dots\dots \\ \dot{\bar{\psi}}_n &= -\frac{\partial H}{\partial c_n} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let us observe that

$$(7.5) \quad \dot{l}_k = \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} (j-k) \bar{l}_j l_{j+k}.$$

Expressing $\bar{l}_k$ from the first n Hamilton equations we get

$$(7.6) \quad \bar{l}_k = \dot{c}_k + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} P_j \dot{c}_{k-j}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

We can decouple the Hamiltonian system making use of (7.5) and (7.6) which leads us to the following non-linear differential equations of the second order

$$\ddot{c}_k = \dot{l}_k + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (j+1) c_j \dot{l}_{k-l} + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (j+1) \dot{c}_j \bar{l}_{k-l},$$

where $\dot{l}_k$ are expressed in terms of the product of $\bar{l}_j l_{j+k}$ by (7.5), and the last products depend on P_j , $\bar{P}_j$ and $\dot{c}$, $\dot{c}_j$ for the corresponding indices j by (7.6). For example,

$$\ddot{c}_1 = \dot{l}_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (j-1) \left(\dot{c}_j + \sum_{p=1}^{j-1} P_p \dot{c}_{j-p} \right) \overline{\left(\dot{c}_{j+1} + \sum_{q=1}^j P_q \dot{c}_{j+1-q} \right)}.$$

Comparing (7.6) and (7.3), we conclude that $\bar{l}_k = u_k$ and u_k satisfy the differential equations

$$(7.7) \quad \dot{u}_k = \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} (j-k) \bar{u}_j u_{j+k},$$

on the solution of the Hamiltonian system. Observe that any solution of (7.7) has a velocity vector of constant length. It is easy to see from the following system

$$(7.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{u}_1 \dot{u}_1 &= 0 \bar{u}_1 \bar{u}_1 u_2 + \bar{u}_1 \bar{u}_2 u_3 + 2 \bar{u}_1 \bar{u}_3 u_4 + 3 \bar{u}_1 \bar{u}_4 u_5 + 4 \bar{u}_1 \bar{u}_5 u_6 + \dots, \\ \bar{u}_2 \dot{u}_2 &= -1 \bar{u}_1 \bar{u}_2 u_3 + 0 \bar{u}_2 \bar{u}_2 u_4 + 1 \bar{u}_2 \bar{u}_3 u_5 + 2 \bar{u}_2 \bar{u}_4 u_6 + \dots, \\ \bar{u}_3 \dot{u}_3 &= -2 \bar{u}_1 \bar{u}_3 u_4 - 1 \bar{u}_2 \bar{u}_3 u_5 + 0 \bar{u}_3 \bar{u}_3 u_6 + \dots, \\ \bar{u}_4 \dot{u}_4 &= -3 \bar{u}_1 \bar{u}_4 u_5 - 2 \bar{u}_2 \bar{u}_4 u_6 + \dots, \\ \bar{u}_5 \dot{u}_5 &= -4 \bar{u}_1 \bar{u}_5 u_6 + \dots, \\ \bar{u}_6 \dot{u}_6 &= \dots \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\frac{d|u|^2}{dt} = 2 \sum_{k=1}^n (\bar{u}_k \dot{u}_k + u_k \dot{\bar{u}}_k) = 0,$$

for any n , thanks to the cut form of our vector fields and the skew symmetry of (7.8). The simplest solution may be deduced for constant driving terms u_k , $k = 1, \dots, n$. The Hamiltonian system immediately gives the geodesic

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= \bar{u}_1(0)s + c_1(0), \\ c_2 &= \bar{u}_1^2(0)s^2 + \bar{u}_2(0)s + c_2(0), \\ c_3 &= 3\bar{u}_1(0)\left(\bar{u}_1^2(0)\frac{s^3}{3} + \bar{u}_2(0)\frac{s^2}{2} + c_2(0)\right) + 2\bar{u}_2(0)\left(\bar{u}_1(0)\frac{s^2}{2} + c_1(0)s\right) + \bar{u}_3(0)s + c_3(0), \\ \dots &= \dots \end{aligned}$$

In general, c_n becomes a polynomial of order n with coefficients that depend on the initial data $c(0)$ and on the initial velocities $\bar{u}(0)$.

The Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$ corresponding to the Hamiltonian function H can be defined by the Legendre transform as

$$\mathcal{L} = (\dot{c}, \bar{\psi}) - H = \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\bar{l}_k \bar{\psi}_k + \bar{\psi}_k \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (j+1)c_j \bar{l}_{k-j} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n |l_k|^2.$$

Taking into account that

$$\bar{\psi}_k \dot{c}_k = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (j+1)c_j \bar{\psi}_k \bar{l}_{k-j} + \bar{\psi}_k \bar{l}_k.$$

Summing up over k , we obtain $(\dot{c}, \bar{\psi}) = \sum_{k=1}^n l_k \bar{l}_k = \sum_{k=1}^n \bar{u}_k u_k$, that gives us

$$\mathcal{L}(c, \dot{c}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n |u_k|^2.$$

All these considerations can be generalized for $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, we conclude that the coefficients of the function $p(z, t)$ in the Löwner-Kufarev PDE play the role of generalized moments for the dynamics in $\mathcal{M}_n$ and $\mathcal{M}$ with respect to the Kirillov basis on the tangent bundle. Moreover, the L^2 -norm of the function p on the circle S^1 is the energy of such motion.

8. SLE and CFT

In this section we review the connections between conformal field theory (CFT) and Schramm-Löwner evolution (SLE) following, e.g., [6], [13]). SLE (being, e.g., a continuous limit of CFT's archetypical Ising model at its critical point) gives an approach to CFT which emphasizes CFT's roots in statistical physics.

SLE_κ is a κ -parameter family of covariant processes describing the evolution of random sets called the SLE_κ hulls. For different values of κ these sets can be either a simple fractal curve $\kappa \in [0, 4]$, or a self-touching curve $\kappa \in (4, 8)$, or a space filling Peano curve $\kappa \geq 8$. At this step we deal with the chordal version of SLE. The complement to a SLE_κ hull in the upper half-plane $\mathbb{H}$ is a simply connected domain

that is mapped conformally onto $\mathbb{H}$ by a holomorphic function $g(z, t)$ satisfying the equation

$$(8.1) \quad \frac{dg}{dt} = \frac{2}{g(z, t) - \xi_t}, \quad g(z, 0) = z,$$

where $\xi_t = \sqrt{\kappa} B_t$, and B_t is a normalized Brownian motion with the diffusion constant κ . The function $g(z, t)$ is expanded as $g(z, t) = z + \frac{2t}{z} + \dots$. The equation (8.1) is called the Schramm-Löwner equation and was studied first in [28]–[30], see also [43] for basic properties of SLE. Special values of κ correspond to interesting special cases of SLE, for example $\kappa = 2$ corresponds to the loop-erasing random walk and the uniform spanning tree, $\kappa = 4$ corresponds to the harmonic explorer and the Gaussian free field. Observe, that the equation (8.1) is not a stochastic differential equation (SDE). To rewrite it in a stochastic way (following [6], [13]) let us set a function $k_t(z) = g(z, t) - \xi_t$, where $k_t(z)$ satisfies already the SDE

$$dk_t(z) = \frac{2}{k_t(z)} dt - d\xi_t.$$

For a function $F(z)$ defined in the upper half-plane one can derive the Itô differential

$$(8.2) \quad dF(k_t) = -d\xi_t L_{-1} F(k_t) + dt \left(\frac{\kappa}{2} L_{-1}^2 - 2L_{-2} \right) F(k_t),$$

with the operators $L_{-1} = -\frac{d}{dz}$ and $L_{-2} = -\frac{1}{z} \frac{d}{dz}$. These operators are the first two Virasoro generators in the ‘negative’ part of the Witt algebra spanned by the operators $-z^{n+1} \frac{d}{dz}$ acting on the appropriate representation space. For any state $|\psi\rangle$, the state $L_{-1}|\psi\rangle$ measures the diffusion of $|\psi\rangle$ under SLE, and $(\frac{\kappa}{2} L_{-1}^2 - 2L_{-2})|\psi\rangle$ measures the drift. The states of interest are drift-less, i.e., the second term in (8.2) vanishes. Such states are annihilated by $\frac{\kappa}{2} L_{-1}^2 - 2L_{-2}$, which is true if we choose the state $|\psi\rangle$ as the highest weight vector in the highest weight representation of the Virasoro algebra with the central charge c and the conformal weight h given by

$$c = \frac{(6 - \kappa)(3\kappa - 8)}{2\kappa}, \quad h = \frac{6 - \kappa}{2\kappa},$$

and the operators L_{-1} and L_{-2} are taken in the corresponding representation. It was obtained in [6] and [13], that $F(k_t)$ is a martingale if and only if $(\frac{\kappa}{2} L_{-1}^2 - 2L_{-2})F(k_t) = 0$. We define a CFT with a boundary in $\mathbb{H}$ such that the boundary condition is changed by a boundary operator. The random curve in $\mathbb{H}$ defined by SLE is growing so that it has states of one type to the left and of the other type to the right (the simplest way to view this is the lattice Ising model with the states defined as spin positions up or down). The mapping g satisfying (8.1) ‘unzips’ the boundary. The primary operator that induces the boundary change with the conformal weight h is drift-less, and therefore, its expectation value does not change in time under the boundary unzipping. Hence all correlators computing with this operator remain

invariant. Analogous considerations one may provide for the ‘radial’ version of SLE in the unit disk, slightly modifying the above statements.

Observe that in this formulation two Virasoro generators can generate the non-trivial ‘negative’ part of the Witt algebra by the commutation relation

$$[L_m, L_n] = (n - m)L_{n+m}.$$

There are many forthcoming directions that can follow the described study of the Löwner-Kufarev equations. One of possible directions is to study the sub-Riemannian geometry naturally related to the bracket generating structure of the Virasoro algebra and to the hypoellipticity of the drift operator for SLE. Another is to consider analogues of SLE in the case of infinite degrees of freedom (stochastic version of the Löwner-Kufarev equation). The alternate Löwner-Kufarev equation, infinite dimensional controllable systems analogous to one considered here, are also new objects to study. We hope this survey will encourage a new wave of interest to this classical subject.

References

- [1] H. Airault, P. Malliavin, *Unitarizing probability measures for representations of Virasoro algebra*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **80** (2001), no. 6, 627–667.
- [2] H. Airault, J. Ren, *Modulus of continuity of the canonic Brownian motion “on the group of diffeomorphisms of the circle”*, J. Funct. Anal. **196** (2002), 395–426.
- [3] V. I. Arnold, *Mathematical methods of classical mechanics*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.
- [4] O. Babelon, D. Bernard, M. Talon, *Introduction to classical integrable systems*. Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [5] K. I. Babenko, *The theory of extremal problems for univalent functions of class S*, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math., no. 101 (1972). Transl. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1975.
- [6] M. Bauer, D. Bernard, *Conformal field theories of stochastic Loewner evolutions*, Comm. Math. Phys. **239** (2003), no. 3, 493–521.
- [7] A. V. Bolsinov, A. T. Fomenko, *Integrable Hamiltonian systems. Geometry, topology, classification*. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2004.
- [8] L. de Branges, *A proof of the Bieberbach conjecture*, Acta Math. **154** (1985), no. 1-2, 137–152.
- [9] B. Enriquez, A. Orlov, V. Rubtsov, *Higher Hamiltonian structures (the sl_2 case)*, JETP Lett. **58** (1993), no. 8, 658–664.
- [10] L. D. Faddeev, *Discretized Virasoro algebra*, Contemp. Math., 391, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005, 59–67.
- [11] L. D. Faddeev, L. A. Takhtajan, *Liouville model on the lattice*, Lecture Notes in Physics, vol. 246, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1986, 166–179.
- [12] S. Fang, *Canonical Brownian motion on the diffeomorphism group of the circle*, J. Funct. Anal. **196** (2002), 162–179.
- [13] R. Friedrich, W. Werner, *Conformal restriction, highest-weight representations and SLE*, Comm. Math. Phys. **243** (2003), no. 1, 105–122.
- [14] C. S. Gardner, *Korteweg-de Vries equation and generalizations. IV. The Korteweg-de Vries equation as a Hamiltonian system*, J. Mathematical Phys. **12** (1971), 1548–1551.
- [15] I. M. Gel’fand, D. B. Fuchs, *Cohomology of the Lie algebra of vector fields on the circle*, Functional Anal. Appl. **2** (1968), no.4, 342–343.

- [16] J.-L. Gervais, *Infinite family of polynomial functions of the Virasoro generators with vanishing Poisson brackets*, Phys. Lett. B **160** (1985), no. 4-5, 277–278.
- [17] T. Gotô, *Relativistic quantum mechanics of one-dimensional mechanical continuum and subsidiary condition of dual resonance model*, Progr. Theor. Phys. **46** (1971), 1560–1569.
- [18] A. Gorsky, A. Marshakov, A. Orlov, V. Rubtsov, *On third Poisson structure of KDV equation*, Theor. Math. Physics **103** (1995), no. 3, 701–705.
- [19] M. B. Green, J. H. Schwarz, and E. Witten, *Superstring theory*, v. 1-2, Cambridge University, Cambridge, 1987.
- [20] B. Gustafsson, A. Vasil'ev, *Conformal and potential analysis in Hele-Shaw cells*, Birkhäuser, 2006.
- [21] R. Inoue, *The lattice Toda field theory for simple Lie algebras*, integrable Systems, Topology, and Physics, Contemp. Math., vol. 309, AMS, 2002, 115–128.
- [22] A. A. Kirillov, *Geometric approach to discrete series of unirreps for Vir*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **77** (1998), 735–746.
- [23] A. A. Kirillov, D. V. Yuriev, *Kähler geometry of the infinite-dimensional homogeneous space $M = \text{Diff}_+(S^1)/\text{Rot}(S^1)$* , Functional Anal. Appl. **21** (1987), no. 4, 284–294.
- [24] A. A. Kirillov, D. V. Yuriev, *Representations of the Virasoro algebra by the orbit method*, J. Geom. Phys. **5** (1988), no. 3, 351–363.
- [25] D. J. Korteweg, G. de Vries, *On the change of form of long waves advancing in a rectangular channel, and a new type of long stationary waves*, Phil. Mag. **38** (1895), 422–443.
- [26] P. P. Kufarev, *On one-parameter families of analytic functions*, Rec. Math. [Mat. Sbornik] N.S. **13(55)** (1943), 87–118.
- [27] K. Löwner, *Untersuchungen über schlichte konforme Abbildungen des Einheitskreises*, Math. Ann. **89** (1923), 103–121.
- [28] G. Lawler, O. Schramm, W. Werner, *Values of Brownian intersections exponents I : half-plane exponents*, Acta Mathematica **187** (2001) 237–273.
- [29] G. Lawler, O. Schramm, W. Werner, *Values of Brownian intersections exponents II : plane exponents*, Acta Mathematica **187** (2001) 275–308.
- [30] G. Lawler, O. Schramm, W. Werner, *Values of Brownian intersections exponents III : two-sided exponents*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré **38** (2002) 109–123.
- [31] F. Magri, *A simple model of the integrable Hamiltonian equation*, J. Math. Phys. **19** (1978), no. 5, 1156–1162.
- [32] P. Malliavin, *The canonic diffusion above the diffeomorphism group of the circle*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. I Math. **329** (1999), 325–329.
- [33] I. Markina, D. Prokhorov, A. Vasil'ev, *Sub-Riemannian geometry of the coefficients of univalent functions*, J. Funct. Analysis **245** (2007), no. 2, 475–492.
- [34] M. Mineev-Weinstein, P. B. Wiegmann, A. Zabrodin, *Integrable structure of interface dynamics*, Phys. Rev. Letters **84** (2000), no. 22, 5106–5109.
- [35] Y. Nambu, *Duality and hydrodynamics*, Lectures at the Copenhagen symposium, 1970.
- [36] E. Noether, *Invariante Variationsprobleme*, Nachr. d. König. Gesellsch. d. Wiss. zu Göttingen, Math-phys. Klasse (1918), 235–257.
- [37] J. Polchinski, *String theory*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1998.
- [38] A. M. Polyakov, *Quantum geometry of bosonic strings*, Phys. Lett. B **103** (1981), no. 3, 207–210.
- [39] Ch. Pommerenke, *Über die Subordination analytischer Funktionen*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **218** (1965), 159–173.
- [40] Ch. Pommerenke, *Univalent functions, with a chapter on quadratic differentials by G. Jensen*, Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, Göttingen, 1975.

- [41] D. Prokhorov, A. Vasil'ev, *Univalent functions and integrable systems*, Comm. Math. Phys. **262** (2006), no. 2, 393–410.
- [42] J. Ren, X. Zhang, *Stochastic flow for SDEs with non-Lipschitz coefficients*, Bull. Sci. Math. **127** (2003), 739–754.
- [43] S. Rohde and O. Schramm, *Basic properties of SLE*, Ann. Math. **161** (2005), 879–920.
- [44] A. C. Schaeffer, D. C. Spencer, *Coefficient Regions for Schlicht Functions (With a Chapter on the Region of the Derivative of a Schlicht Function by Arthur Grad)*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, Vol. 35. American Mathematical Society, New York, 1950.
- [45] L. A. Takhtajan, L.-P. Teo, *Weil-Petersson metric on the universal Teichmüller space*. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **183** (2006), no. 861.
- [46] A. Vasil'ev, *Energy characteristics of subordination chains*, Arkiv Mat. **45** (2007), 141–156.
- [47] A. Vasil'ev, *From the Hele-Shaw experiment to integrable systems: a historical overview*, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory, **3** (2009), no. 2, DOI 10.1007/s11785-008-0104-8.
- [48] M. A. Virasoro, *Subsidiary conditions and ghosts in dual-resonance models*, Phys. Rev. D1 (1970), 2933–2936.
- [49] M. Volpato, *Sulla derivabilità, rispetto a valori iniziali ed a parametri, delle soluzioni dei sistemi di equazioni differenziali ordinarie del primo ordine*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova **28** (1958), 71–106.
- [50] ed V. E. Zakharov, *What is integrability?*, Springer Series in Nonlinear Dynamics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.
- [51] V. E. Zaharov, L. D. Faddeev, *The Korteweg-de Vries equation is a fully integrable Hamiltonian system*, Funkcional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **5** (1971), no. 4, 18–27.

UNIVERSITY OF BERGEN, JOHANNES BRUNSGATE 12, N-5008 BERGEN, NORWAY
E-mail address: Irina.Markina@uib.no

UNIVERSITY OF BERGEN, JOHANNES BRUNSGATE 12, N-5008 BERGEN, NORWAY
E-mail address: Alexander.Vasiliev@uib.no